

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

**(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)  
IIT: DELHI**

No. IITD/FAA/2018/394

Dated: 26<sup>th</sup> April, 2018

**Sub: Final reply given by First Appellate Authority Against appeal dated 24<sup>th</sup> April, 2018.**

This has reference to the first appeal received from the RTI Applicant, against his original RTI application dated 24<sup>th</sup> February, 2018 which was replied by the Public Information Officer on 23<sup>rd</sup> March 2018.

Not satisfied with the information furnished by PIO, the RTI applicant preferred for first appeal. As First Appellate Authority of the Institute, I have examined your appeal and the reply given by the PIO. My observations are given under:

The reply given by PIO covers all the queries raised by the RTI applicant.

Please note on-record there is no charges mentioned for Computer Printouts. The RTI Act 2005 provides information which is already available and does not have the mandate to create information.

In view of above, your appeal have been answered and be treated as addressed and fulfilled.

**(Dr. Kalyan Kr. Bhattacharjee)  
First Appellate Authority**

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**  
**(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)**  
**IIT: DELHI**

No. IITD/FAA/2018/411  
Dated: 11<sup>th</sup> April, 2018

**Sub : Final reply given by First Appellate Authority against his appeal dated 07<sup>th</sup> April, 2018**

This has reference to the first appeal received from the RTI Applicant, against his original RTI application dated 08<sup>th</sup> March, 2018 which was replied by the Public Information Officer on 09<sup>th</sup> March, 2018.

Not satisfied with the information furnished by PIO, the RTI applicant preferred for first appeal. As First Appellate Authority of the Institute, I have examined your appeal and the reply given by the PIO. My observations are given under:

The RTI query is raised in this appeal is an academic question. Please note that such type of academic queries and academic advises does not come under the purview of the RTI Act. Under this RTI Act and organization provides information which is already available in official records/ proceedings and does not have the mandate to create information or to provide any advice.

Moreover the RTI applicant himself is well aware of the reply to the questions raised since he himself knows that the reply to the question can be obtained from the text books available in the market.

In view of above, your appeal is treated as addressed and fulfilled.

**(Dr. Kalyan Kr. Bhattacharjee)**  
**First Appellate Authority**

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY**  
**(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)**  
**IIT: DELHI**

No. IITD/FAA/2018/416  
Dated: 29<sup>th</sup> April, 2018

**Sub : Final reply given by First Appellate Authority against his appeal dated 23<sup>rd</sup> April, 2018.**

This has reference to the first appeal received from the RTI Applicant, against his original RTI application dated 04<sup>th</sup> March, 2018 which was replied by the Public Information Officer on 13<sup>th</sup> April, 2018.

Not satisfied with the information furnished by PIO, you have now preferred for first appeal. As First Appellate Authority of the Institute, I have examined your appeal. Your appeal was forwarded to Assistant Registrar, Estt – I for further clarification. The reply received from Esstt – I along with relevant documents is enclosed at Annexure – A. (Page Nos. 01- 05).

Hope all the queries raised in your appeal have been answered.

In view of above, your appeal is treated as addressed and fulfilled.

**(Dr. Kalyan Kr. Bhattacharjee)**  
**First Appellate Authority**

Copy to: Assistant Registrar (Estt-I) – for information.

OFFICE OF FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY

(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)

IIT: DELHI

IITD/FAA/2017/04

Dated: 31<sup>st</sup> May, 2018

This has reference to your first appeal dated 30<sup>th</sup> April, 2018 received online against your RTI application dated 06<sup>th</sup> April, 2018 which was replied by Public Information Officer on 27<sup>th</sup> April, 2017.

Not satisfied with the information furnished by PIO, you have now preferred for first appeal. As First Appellate Authority of the Institute, I have examined your appeal. The queries raised in your RTI application dated 06<sup>th</sup> April, 2018 and queries raised in the appeal dated 30<sup>th</sup> April, 2018 are different which is not admissible under the RTI Act.

However, your appeal was forwarded to Head, Department of Management Studies to look into the queries raised in your appeal. The reply received from Head, Mathematics Department is enclosed herewith.

**(Dr. Kalyan Kr. Bhattacharjee)**  
**First Appellate Authority**

Copy to Head Mathematics – for information.

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)  
IIT: DELHI**

No. IITD/FAA/2018/04  
Dated: 31<sup>st</sup> May, 2018

The following table gives the details of your RTI queries, reply given by Public Information Officer, your first appeal dated 30<sup>th</sup> April, 2018 and the reply furnished by Head, Mathematics Department.

Sr. No .	RTI Query raised by the applicant dated 06 <sup>th</sup> April, 2018	Reply given by Public Information Officer	First appeal by the Applicant	Reply given by Head, Mathematics for his appeal
1.	Please supply copies of the file noting/circular/notification in relation to PhD entrance DEC 2017 since the advertisement notification till the finalization of results.	Advertisement is done for all programs and not department wise. Copy of advertisement enclosed at Annexure – A (Page No.01).	Please supply the copies of the criteria applied and the details of the methodology adopted in arriving at 28 candidates to the called for interview, and also provide minutes of the meeting of senior professor to arrive at this numbers, in the relation to PhD entrance in the Department of Mathematics IITD , DEC 2017.	<p>Questions raised in the original RTI and Appeal are different.</p> <p>For the procedure, DFB Minutes of the Criteria is attached at Annexure - A. (Page Nos. 01-08) and Annexure– B. (Page Nos. 01-08 ).</p>
2.	Please supply the copies minutes of the selection committee and also the file notings since is constitution and dissolution in relation to PhD entrance in the department of mathematics IITD, DEC 2017.	Copy of the minutes of the DRC of Mathematics Department is enclosed at Annexure – B. (Page No.01).	Please supply copies of the list of names of candidates applying in each category (Gen,OBC,SC,Ph), who appeared in the written exam on 5 th DEC 2017, in the relation to PhD entrance in the Department of Mathematics IITD , DEC 2017.	<p>Questions raised in the original RTI and Appeal are different.</p> <p>List already provided.</p>
Sr. No .	RTI Query raised by the applicant dated 06 <sup>th</sup> April, 2018	Reply given by Public Information Officer	First appeal by the Applicant	Reply given by Head, Mathematics for his appeal

3.	Please supply the copies of attendance sheet of students who have actually appeared in written exam of PhD admission in the department of mathematics IITD, DEC 2017.	The information is enclosed at Annexure – C. (Page No.01).	Please supply copies of the list of candidates who successfully cleared written examination and were called for interview on 5th and 6th of December, clearly mentioning their category, marks and rank in order of merit, in the relation to PhD entrance in the Department of Mathematics IITD , DEC 2017.	<p>Questions raised in the original RTI and Appeal are different.</p> <p>Regarding supplying copy of shortlisted candidates, list is enclosed at Annexure – C. (Page No. 01).</p> <p>Marks obtained by the candidates cannot be provided. Written test conducted is only a screening test and it is not a final merit list.</p>
4.	Please supply the copies of attendance sheet of students who have actually appeared in interview in the department of mathematics IITD, DEC 2017.	The information is enclosed at Annexure – D – 1 (Page No. 1).	Please supply copies of the list of 83 candidates applying for the written examination with their respective category, in the relation to PhD entrance in the Department of Mathematics IITD , DEC 2017.	<p>Questions raised in the original RTI and Appeal are different.</p> <p>List of 83 candidates is attached as Annexure – D. (Page Nos. 01-02).</p>

In case your queries are still not resolved kindly visit the Department on 6<sup>th</sup> or 7<sup>th</sup> of June, 2018 (first half) for inspection and to resolve your queries regarding admission for Ph.D. students in the year 2017.

Kindly inform your availability on the above dates which are convenient to you.

(Dr. Kalyan Kr. Bhattacharjee)  
First Appellate Authority

OFFICE OF FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY

(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)

IIT: DELHI

IITD/FAA/2017/19/05

Dated: 05<sup>th</sup> June, 2018

**Sub : Final reply given by First Appellate Authority against his appeal dated 26<sup>th</sup> May, 2018.**

This has reference to your first appeal dated 26<sup>th</sup> May, 2018 received online against your RTI application dated 24<sup>th</sup> April, 2018 which was replied by Public Information Officer on 16 May, 2017.

Not satisfied with the information furnished by PIO, you have now preferred for first appeal. As First Appellate Authority of the Institute, I have examined your appeal. My observations are as under:-

The questions raised by the RTI applicant are more of a research question of any academic work. There is no provision under RTI Act to reply/answer to the academic/research questions raised by an applicant. Normally RTI attempts to provide any official documents which exist in official set up. It cannot attempt to create an information/document. Hence I am satisfied by the reply provided by the PIO.

In view of above, your appeal is treated as addressed and fulfilled.

**(Dr. Kalyan Kr. Bhattacharjee)**  
**First Appellate Authority**

OFFICE OF FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY

(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)

IIT: DELHI

IITD/FAA/2018/23/07

Dated: 05<sup>th</sup> June, 2018

**Sub : Final reply given by First Appellate Authority against his appeal dated 27<sup>th</sup> May, 2018.**

This has reference to your first appeal dated 27<sup>th</sup> May, 2018 received against your RTI application dated nil which was replied by Public Information Officer on 27 May, 2017.

Not satisfied with the information furnished by PIO, you have now preferred for first appeal. As First Appellate Authority of the Institute, I have examined your appeal. My observations are as under:-

IIT Delhi has no policy to display all Ph.D. thesis for public viewing. This is a very old thesis. However, you may personally visit IIT Delhi Library on a mutually convenient day and time after consulting the Librarian for inspect of documents. Details of Librarian are as under:-

Mr. Nabi Hasan  
Librarian  
Central Library  
IIT Delhi

His contact number is 26591451

In view of above, your appeal is treated as addressed and fulfilled.

**(Dr. Kalyan Kr. Bhattacharjee)**  
**First Appellate Authority**

Dean Academics- Put for your comments before issuing the appeal to the applicant.

The related papers are attached.

OFFICE OF FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY

(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)

IIT: DELHI

IITD/FAA/2018/08/09

Dated: 08<sup>th</sup> June, 2018

**Sub : Final reply given by First Appellate Authority to against his appeal dated 17<sup>th</sup> May, 2018.**

This has reference to your first appeal dated 17<sup>th</sup> May, 2018 received on 2<sup>nd</sup> June, 2018 against your RTI application dated 02<sup>nd</sup> April, 2018 which was replied by Public Information Officer on 16<sup>th</sup> April , 2017.

Not satisfied with the information furnished by PIO, you have now preferred for first appeal regarding existence of rules/laws in Civil/Structural Engineering Section. As First Appellate Authority of the Institute, I have examined your appeal. My observations are as under:-

RTI Act provides information which exist in official records. In this case, no such rule exists and hence I stand by the reply given by Public Information Officer.

In view of above, your appeal is treated as addressed and fulfilled.

**(Dr. Kalyan Kr. Bhattacharjee)**  
**First Appellate Authority**

OFFICE OF FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY

(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)

IIT: DELHI

IITD/FAA/2018/138/16

Dated: 24<sup>th</sup> August, 2018

**Sub : Final reply given by First Appellate Authority against his appeal dated 10<sup>th</sup> August, 2018.**

This has reference to your first appeal dated 10<sup>th</sup> August, 2018 received online against your RTI application dated 15<sup>th</sup> July, 2018 which was replied by Public Information Officer on 08<sup>th</sup> August , 2018.

Not satisfied with the information furnished by PIO, you have now preferred for first appeal regarding CAT Percentile of First and Last Student to whom admission was offered for the 2014 to 2017. As First Appellate Authority of the Institute, I have examined your appeal.

Your appeal was forwarded to Head, Department of Management Studies to look into the queries raised in your appeal. The reply received from Head, Mathematics Department is mention below:-

“First Student of SC Category CAT Percentile and Last Student of SC Category CAT Percentile are given below:

Year	Overall CAT Percentile	
	First Student	Last Student
2014	98.86	89.30
2015	92.84	80.53
2016	95.95	72.49
2017	96.65	67.31

In view of above, your appeal is treated as addressed and fulfilled.

**(Dr. Kalyan Kr. Bhattacharjee)**  
**First Appellate Authority**

Copy: Head, DMS (for Information)

OFFICE OF FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY

(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)

IIT: DELHI

IITD/FAA/2018/424/19

Dated: 18<sup>th</sup> September, 2018

**Sub : Final reply given by First Appellate Authority against his appeal dated 31<sup>st</sup> August, 2018.**

This has reference to your first appeal dated 31<sup>st</sup> August, 2018 received offline against your RTI application dated 19<sup>th</sup> March, 2018 which was not replied due to misinterpretation of attached document by Public Information Officer

You have now preferred for first appeal regarding Security Tender of IIT Delhi. As First Appellate Authority of the Institute, I have examined your appeal. Your appeal was forwarded to Joint Registrar, Estt – II for further clarification. The reply received from Esstt – II along with relevant documents is enclosed at Annexure – A. (Page Nos. 01-50).

Hope all the queries raised in your appeal have been answered.

In view of above, your appeal is treated as addressed and fulfilled.

**(Dr. Kalyan Kr. Bhattacharjee)**  
**First Appellate Authority**

Copy: JR(E-II) (for Information)

OFFICE OF FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY

(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)

IIT: DELHI

IITD/FAA/2018/173/23

Dated: 18<sup>th</sup> September, 2018

**Sub : Final reply given by First Appellate Authority against his appeal dated 11<sup>th</sup>September, 2018.**

This has reference to your first appeal dated 11<sup>th</sup>Septembert, 2018 received online against your RTI application dated 06<sup>th</sup> August, 2018 which was replied by Public Information Officer on 13<sup>th</sup> August , 2018.

Not satisfied with the information furnished by PIO, you have now preferred for first appeal regarding exam result of Junior Engineer(Electrical) at IIT Jammu. As First Appellate Authority of the Institute, I have examined your appeal.

It does not pertain to IIT Delhi Kindly,contact to PIO,IIT Jammu

In view of above, your appeal is treated as addressed and fulfilled.

**(Dr. Kalyan Kr. Bhattacharjee)**  
**First Appellate Authority**

OFFICE OF FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY

(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)

IIT: DELHI

IITD/FAA/2018/115/21

Dated: 18<sup>th</sup> September, 2018

**Sub : Final reply given by First Appellate Authority against his appeal dated 30th August, 2018.**

This has reference to your first appeal dated 30<sup>st</sup> August, 2018 received through e-mail against your RTI application dated 04<sup>th</sup> July, 2018 which was replied by Public Information Officer on 01<sup>st</sup> August 2018

As First Appellate Authority, I have gone through your appeal. It appears that you did not received the reply from the PIO of the Institute in time. But as per our record the reply was sent through speed post vide Institute letter IITD/RTI/2018/115, Dated: 01/08/2018 at your given address Mr. Anand Kumar Soni, Flat 5/15, Vishvidhalaya Marg, Near Samaj Karya Vibhag, Delhi-110007. For you convenience, I am sending the complete RTI reply at the same address once again free of cost.

Hope all the queries raised in your appeal have been answered.

In view of above, your appeal is treated as addressed and fulfilled.

**(Dr. Kalyan Kr. Bhattacharjee)**  
**First Appellate Authority**

OFFICE OF FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY

(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)

IIT: DELHI

IITD/FAA/2018/133/20

Dated: 11<sup>th</sup> October, 2018

**Sub : Final reply given by First Appellate Authority against his appeal dated 04<sup>th</sup> September, 2018.**

This has reference to your first appeal dated 04<sup>th</sup> September, 2018 received online against your RTI application dated 11<sup>th</sup> July, 2018 which was replied by Public Information Officer on 29<sup>th</sup> August , 2018.

Not satisfied with the information furnished by PIO, you have now preferred for first appeal regarding Dr. Harish Hirani. As First Appellate Authority of the Institute, I have examined your appeal. My observations are as under:-

1. Section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act says "Information which relates to personal information the disclosure of which has no relationship for any public authority to any activity or interest, or which would cause unwarranted invasion of privacy of the individuals can not be disclosed unless public information officer or the appellate authority, as the case may be, is satisfied that the larger public interest justifies the disclosure of such information."
2. As per our records Prof.Harish Hirani submitted his Immovable Property Return in the year 2012, in a sealed confidential envelope. The same has been reflected in the website of IIT Delhi vide its link <http://www.iitd.ac.in/content/immovable-property-returns-faculty-members-iit-delhi>. Since, this is under confidential cover the same cannot be disclosed.
3. The RTI Applicant has not shown any public interest in his demand for disclosure of such personal information. Since there is no public interest behind the demand for this information, appeal is rejected.

I agree, there was a delay in providing information, since Institute took the viewpoint of the concerned faculty member whether such information to be disclose or not. However the PIO sought additional time before furnishing the information.

Hence, I agree with the decision of PIO.

In view of above, your appeal is treated as addressed and fulfilled.

**(Dr. Kalyan Kr. Bhattacharjee)**  
**First Appellate Authority**

FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY

(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)

IIT: DELHI

IITD/FAA/2018/

Dated: 29<sup>th</sup> October, 2018

**Sub : Final reply given by First Appellate Authority against his appeal dated 19<sup>th</sup> September, 2018.**

This has reference to your first appeal dated 19<sup>th</sup> September, 2018 received online against your RTI application dated 20<sup>th</sup> August, 2018 which was replied by Public Information Officer on 18<sup>th</sup> September, 2018.

Not satisfied with the information furnished by PIO, you have now preferred for first appeal. As First Appellate Authority of the Institute, I have examined your appeal. My observations are as under:-

Institute do not maintain any electronic record of the items (like AC, Machine, Chairs and Fan) which are not in use. All items purchased by the Institute are rigorously used and on expiry of service life they are written off and disposed off as per Institute process/norms. The PIO has already submitted Information what was available

I stand by the reply given by Public Information Officer.

In view of above, your appeal is treated as addressed and fulfilled.

**(Dr. Kalyan Kr. Bhattacharjee)**  
**First Appellate Authority**

OFFICE OF FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY

(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)

IIT: DELHI

IITD/FAA/2018/

Dated: 29<sup>th</sup> October, 2018

**Sub :** प्रथम अपीलीय प्राधिकारी द्वारा अंतिम उत्तर, 19 सितंबर, 2018 की अपनी अपील के संदर्भ में।

यह 19, सितम्बर, 2018 की आपकी पहली अपील के संदर्भ में है जो की 20 अगस्त, 2018 के आपके आरटीआई आवेदन के संदर्भ में ऑनलाइन प्राप्त हुआ जिसका 18 सितंबर, 2018 को लोक सूचना अधिकारी ने उत्तर दिया था।

लोक सूचना अधिकारी द्वारा दी गई जानकारी से संतुष्ट न होने के कारण, अब आपने प्रथम अपील के लिए प्राथमिकता दी है। संस्थान के प्रथम अपीलीय अधिकारी के रूप में, मैंने आपकी अपील की जांच की है। मेरे टिप्पणी इस प्रकार हैं: -

संस्थान वस्तुओं के किसी भी इलेक्ट्रॉनिक रिकॉर्ड (जैसे एसी, मशीन, कुर्सियां और फैन) को नहीं बनाता है जो उपयोग में नहीं हैं। संस्थान द्वारा खरीदे गए सभी सामानों का पूर्ण उपयोग किया जाता है और जीवन काल की समाप्ति पर उन्हें संस्थान प्रक्रिया / मानदंडों के अनुसार डिसपोज कर दिया जाता है। लोक सूचना अधिकारी ने पहले से ही उपलब्ध जानकारी दे चुके हैं

मैं लोक सूचना अधिकारी द्वारा दिए गए उत्तर का समर्थन करता हूं।

उपरोक्त को देखते हुए, आपकी अपील को संबोधित और पूरा माना जाता है।

(डॉ कल्याण कुमार भट्टाचार्य)

प्रथम अपीलीय अधिकारी

OFFICE OF FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY

(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)

IIT: DELHI

IITD/FAA/2018/234/28

Dated: 16<sup>th</sup> November, 2018

**Sub : Final reply given by First Appellate Authority against his appeal dated 18<sup>th</sup> October, 2018.**

This has reference to your first appeal dated 18<sup>th</sup> October, 2018 received online against your RTI application dated 20<sup>th</sup> September, 2018 which was replied by Public Information Officer on 16<sup>th</sup> October, 2018.

Not satisfied with the information furnished by PIO, you have now preferred for first appeal. As First Appellate Authority of the Institute, I have examined your appeal. Your appeal was forwarded to JR(Academics) for further clarification. The reply received from JR(Academics) is enclosed at Annexure-I (Page No.1)

Hope all the queries raised in your appeal have been answered.

In view of above, your appeal is treated as addressed and fulfilled.

**(Dr. Kalyan Kr. Bhattacharjee)**  
**First Appellate Authority**

Copy to: JR Academics (For information)

OFFICE OF FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY

(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)

IIT: DELHI

IITD/FAA/2018/226/26

Dated: 29<sup>th</sup> November, 2018

**Sub : Final reply given by First Appellate Authority against his appeal dated 18<sup>th</sup> October, 2018.**

This has reference to your first appeal dated 18<sup>th</sup> October, 2018 received through speed-post against your RTI application dated 15<sup>th</sup> September, 2018 which was replied by Public Information Officer on 09<sup>th</sup> October, 2018.

Not satisfied with the information furnished by PIO, you have now preferred for first appeal. As First Appellate Authority of the Institute, I have examined your appeal

My observations are as under-

Having considered aforementioned submissions of the PIO, in the capacity of FAA my views are that the information as sought for by the Appellant relates to commercial confidence, trade secrets of a third party, the disclosure of which would harm the competitive position of the third party and disclosure of which is exempted under the provisions of Section 8(1) (d) of the [RTI Act](#).

Section 8(1)(d) of the RTI Act exempts from disclosure- "information including commercial confidence, trade secrets or intellectual property, the disclosure of which would harm the competitive position of a third party, unless the Competent Authority is satisfied that larger public interest warrants the disclosure of such information. From a plain reading of Section 8(1)(d) of the RTI Act it follows that the PIO is exempted from furnishing information including commercial confidence, trade secrets, intellectual property, the disclosure of which would harm the competitive position of a third party.

In the instant case, it is also evident that the Third Party to whom the information relates, have objected to the disclosure of the information in toto. The protection afforded by virtue of the exemption from disclosure enacted under Section 8(1) (d) of the RTI Act cannot be lifted or disturbed unless the Appellant is able to justify how such disclosure would be in 'larger public interest'.

Hence, I stand by the decision of PIO

Hope all the queries raised in your appeal have been answered.

In view of above, your appeal is treated as addressed and fulfilled.

**(Dr. Kalyan Kr. Bhattacharjee)**  
**First Appellate Authority**

Copy to:AR(SA) For information

OFFICE OF FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY

(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)

IIT: DELHI

IITD/FAA/2018/212/20

Dated: 20<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

**Sub : Final reply given by First Appellate Authority against his appeal dated 15<sup>th</sup> November, 2018.**

This has reference to your first appeal dated 15<sup>th</sup> November, 2018 received through RTI portal against your RTI application dated 26<sup>th</sup> October, 2018 which was replied by Public Information Officer on 13<sup>th</sup> November, 2018.

Not satisfied with the information furnished by PIO, you have now preferred for first appeal. As First Appellate Authority of the Institute, I have examined your appeal. Your appeal was sent to AR(SA) and AR(UGS) for reconsideration. My observations are as under

Having considered aforementioned submissions of the PIO, AR(SA), AR(UGS) in the capacity of FAA my views are that the information sought by the Appellant pertains to a very old period i.e. from year 2000-till now. Hence it will inappropriately divert the records, resources of the Institute and require long man hours. Also, records prior to 2010 has been weeded out as per Institute norms. However, you may inspect the document/records since 2010 and onwards with a mutually convenient time decided with AR(UGS) and AR(SA). Therefore, there is no change in the Information as provided earlier in the RTI Application

Hence, I stand by the decision of PIO

Hope all the queries raised in your appeal have been answered.

In view of above, your appeal is treated as addressed and fulfilled.

**(Dr. Kalyan Kr. Bhattacharjee)**  
**First Appellate Authority**

Copy to: AR(SA)/AR(UGS) For information

OFFICE OF FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY

(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)

IIT: DELHI

IITD/FAA/2018/212/20

Dated: 26<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

**Sub : Final reply given by First Appellate Authority against his appeal dated 15<sup>th</sup> October, 2018.**

This has reference to your first appeal dated 15<sup>th</sup> October, 2018 received through speed-post against your RTI application dated 01<sup>st</sup> September, 2018 which was replied by Public Information Officer on 04<sup>th</sup> October, 2018.

Not satisfied with the information furnished by PIO, you have now preferred for first appeal. As First Appellate Authority of the Institute, I have examined your appeal. Your appeal was sent to Assistant Registrar (Student Affairs)/Institute Engineer/Security Office for reconsideration

Having examined the aforementioned submissions received from Public Information Officer, Assistant Registrar (Student Affairs)/Institute Engineer/Security Office, in the capacity of FAA my observations are as under

“IIT Delhi obtained NOC from the Chief Fire Officer vide memo no. F.6/DFS/MS2006/494 dated 27.02.2006 in respect of Synergy Building, IIT Delhi, Hauz Khas. However, the NOC with regard to fire safety measure is obtained by the owner of the cafeteria”

Hence, I stand by the decision of PIO

Hope all the queries raised in your appeal have been answered.

In view of above, your appeal is treated as addressed and fulfilled.

**(Dr. Kalyan Kr. Bhattacharjee)**  
**First Appellate Authority**

Copy to:AR(SA)/I.E./Security Office For information

OFFICE OF FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY

(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)

IIT: DELHI

IITD/FAA/2019/

Dated: 17<sup>th</sup> January 2019

**Sub : Final reply given by First Appellate Authority to against her application dated 14<sup>th</sup> November, 2018.**

This has reference to your dated 14<sup>th</sup> November, 2018 received through speed post which was replied by Public Information Officer on 13<sup>th</sup> December 2018 in which you had sought information about Mr. Sunil Kumar at following points attached herewith at Annexure-A

The Public Information Officer vide its letter dated 13<sup>th</sup> December 2018 addressed to Mr. Sunil Kumar, expressed his consent on the disclosure of personal information under RTI Act 2005 on the grounds of wider public interest. Mr. Sunil Kumar was granted time to object the decision of Public Information Officer and entitled to prefer an appeal with First Appellate Authority of the Institute under the section 19 of the RTI Act 2005.

Mr. Sunil Kumar has preferred for First Appeal vide his letter dated 11<sup>th</sup> January 2019 As First Appellate Authority of the Institute, I have examined his appeal. In the capacity of FAA my observations are as under-

“Mr. Sunil Kumar has filed RTI appeal on 11<sup>th</sup> January 2019 i.e. before 30 days of the decision of PIO on the grounds of endangerment of his life. Having considered aforementioned submissions in the capacity of First Appellate Authority I am constrained not to disclose the Information at this stage ”

**(Dr. Kalyan Kr. Bhattacharjee)**  
**First Appellate Authority**

OFFICE OF FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY

(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)

IIT: DELHI

IITD/FAA/2019/

Dated: 18<sup>th</sup> January 2019

**Sub : Final reply given by First Appellate Authority against his application dated 06<sup>th</sup> December, 2018.**

This has reference to your first appeal dated **06<sup>th</sup> December**, 2018 received online which was replied by Public Information Officer on 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2018 in which you had stated that you had received erroneous Annexures with respect to your query at serial no. 1.

As First Appellate Authority of the Institute, I have examined your appeal, in the capacity of FAA and my observations are as under-

The Public Information Officer vide its letter dated 17<sup>th</sup> January 2019 sent you the correct reply.

I am sending the same to you again the copy of the reply given by PIO vide his letter dated 17<sup>th</sup> January 2019 is also attached for your reference.

Hope all the queries raised in your appeal have been answered.

In view of above, your appeal is treated as addressed and fulfilled.

**(Dr. Kalyan Kr. Bhattacharjee)**  
**First Appellate Authority**

OFFICE OF FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY

(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)

IIT: DELHI

IITD/FAA/2019/

Dated: 17<sup>th</sup> January 2019

**Sub : Final reply given by First Appellate Authority against her application dated 14<sup>th</sup> November, 2018.**

This has reference to your dated 14<sup>th</sup> November, 2018 received through speed post which was replied by Public Information Officer on 13<sup>th</sup> December 2018 in which you had sought information about Mr. Sunil Kumar at following points attached herewith at Annexure-A

The Public Information Officer vide its letter dated 13<sup>th</sup> December 2018 addressed to Mr. Sunil Kumar, expressed his consent on the disclosure of personal information under RTI Act 2005 on the grounds of wider public interest. Mr. Sunil Kumar was granted time to object the decision of Public Information Officer and entitled to prefer an appeal with First Appellate Authority of the Institute under the section 19 of the RTI Act 2005.

Mr. Sunil Kumar has preferred for First Appeal vide his letter dated 11<sup>th</sup> January 2019. As First Appellate Authority of the Institute, I have examined his appeal. In the capacity of FAA my observations are as under-

“Mr. Sunil Kumar has filed RTI appeal on 11<sup>th</sup> January 2019 i.e. before 30 days of the decision of PIO on the grounds of endangerment of his life. Having considered aforementioned submissions in the capacity of First Appellate Authority I am constrained not to disclose the Information at this stage ”

**(Dr. Kalyan Kr. Bhattacharjee)**  
**First Appellate Authority**

OFFICE OF FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY

(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)

IIT: DELHI

IITD/FAA/2019/71099

Dated: 05<sup>th</sup> March 2019

**Sub : Final reply given by First Appellate Authority against his appeal dated 23<sup>rd</sup> January 2019.**

This has reference to your RTI appeal dated 23rd January 2019 received online which was replied by Public Information Officer on 01<sup>st</sup> January 2019 in which you had sought information at the points given in the following table

As First Appellate Authority of the Institute, I have examined your appeal, in the capacity of FAA and my observations are as under-

Sr.No.	Query by the Appellant	Reply by First Appellate Authority
1	Since the 14 candidates has joined for the post of Junior Assistant among the 21 selected candidates. So When the empaneled candidates will be called	Panel will be used as per need and rules of the Institute.
2	Since the initial joining letter is issued on November 03, 2018. So it is being over 2 months and 30 days. Why the next wait listed candidate is not called till date	There is a difference between wait listed candidates in panel. Till date 05 candidates from the panel has been offered appointment. Name of such candidates are as under:
3	If any wait listed candidate is called for joining, then provide the name of the same.	1.Joginder Singh 2.Shruti Jain 3.Puneet Tripathi 4.Ankit Bourai 5.Aakash Gupta

Hope all the queries raised in your appeal have been answered.

In view of above, your appeal is treated as addressed and fulfilled.

**(Dr. Kalyan Kr. Bhattacharjee)**  
**First Appellate Authority**

OFFICE OF FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY

(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)

IIT: DELHI

IITD/FAA/2019/71093

Dated: 03<sup>rd</sup> March, 2019

**Sub : Final reply given by First Appellate Authority against his appeal dated 30<sup>th</sup> January , 2019.**

This has reference to your first appeal dated 22<sup>nd</sup> January, 2019 received through speed post on 30<sup>th</sup> January 2019 against your RTI application dated 22<sup>nd</sup> November, 2018 which was replied by Public Information Officer on 19<sup>th</sup> December, 2018.

Not satisfied with the information furnished by PIO, you have now preferred for first appeal at point no. 5 &6 of your RTI Application. As First Appellate Authority of the Institute, I have examined your appeal. Your appeal was forwarded to JR(Establishment-2) for further clarification. The reply received from JR(Establishment-2) is as under-

“The designation Tech Assistant/Jr. Technical Assistant are the same”

Hope all the queries raised in your appeal have been answered.

In view of above, your appeal is treated as addressed and fulfilled.

**(Dr. Kalyan Kr. Bhattacharjee)**  
**First Appellate Authority**

Copy to: JR Establishment-2 (For information)

OFFICE OF FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY

(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)

IIT: DELHI

IITD/FAA/2019/50392

Dated: 20<sup>th</sup> March 2019

**Sub : Final reply given by First Appellate Authority against his appeal dated 14<sup>th</sup> February 2019.**

This has reference to your first appeal dated 14<sup>th</sup> February 2019 received online against your RTI application dated 15<sup>th</sup> December,2018 which was replied by Public Information Officer on 10<sup>th</sup> January 2019

Not satisfied with the Information furnished by Public Information Officer, you have now preferred for first appeal at point nos (i),(ii),(v) & (vi) of your RTI Application. As First Appellate Authority of the Institute, I have examined your appeal and further reply against each query is placed as under:

Sr.No.	Query by the Appellant	Reply by First Appellate Authority
1	The Name of all staff and Faculty and there family members who performed journey in the months of September, October of 2014 Date and month wise data, Place of Journey and claimed amount under LTC scheme be provided. Answer: Remaining information is being collected and will be provided by January 30th,2018.But till date the information has not been received by me.You have mentioned under the section 8 (1)(i) family members is personal information but you already have been given in my earlier RTI so that I need not again the same.	Public Information Officer has already provided sufficient data to the RTI Applicant. Place of journey has been provided. Claimed amount has been paid to them on actuals.
2	Answer of question no .2 Is not tenable. My question was is as under. "Under which BOG Rule Under which BOG rule Dr. Kalyan Bhatacharjee al has been attending the Seminar Workshop in Australia, Singapore and Malaysia etc. under PDF fund generated by different professors of	All the visits of Dr. K.K. Bhattacharya ( then research scholar of IIT Delhi) had the approval of the Competent Authority which is sufficient to make his academic visits. No separate BoG approval is required for the same

	IIT and Hong Kong and UK prof P.K. Sen. Research Project of IRD.”	
5	.Answer of question No. 5 is not tenable.Question : The date of promotion of Dr. Kalyan Bhattacharjee and also give the answer under Act whether the designation given is as per Recruitment Rules 2016	Sufficient information has been provided by PIO against the said question. The reply already justify the rule position in this regard.
6	Question No 6Answer will be provided by January 30th,2018. But till date I have not received.	No minute exists

Hope all the queries raised in your appeal have been answered.

In view of above, your appeal is treated as addressed and fulfilled.

**(Dr. Kalyan Kr. Bhattacharjee)**  
**First Appellate Authority**

Copy to: PIO (For information)

OFFICE OF FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY

(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)

IIT: DELHI

IITD/FAA/2019/81304

Dated: 07<sup>th</sup> March, 2019

**Sub : Final reply given by First Appellate Authority to against his appeal dated 30<sup>th</sup> January , 2019.**

This has reference to your first appeal dated 30<sup>th</sup> January, 2019 received online against your RTI application dated 09<sup>th</sup> December, 2018 which was replied by Public Information Officer on 03<sup>rd</sup> January, 2019.

Not satisfied with the information furnished by Public Information Officer, you have now preferred for first appeal at point nos. 1 to 12 of your RTI Application. As First Appellate Authority of the Institute, I have examined your appeal. my observations are as under

“ PIO vide his communication dated 08<sup>th</sup> January 2019 requested you to submit Rs 20 at the rate of Rs 2 for 10 pages of Information. You haven't provided the receipt of payment. You vide your email dated 06<sup>th</sup> March 2019 provided the receipt of payment. Hence, I am sending you the whole reply at your registered address.

Hope all the queries raised in your appeal have been answered.

In view of above, your appeal is treated as addressed and fulfilled.

**(Dr. Kalyan Kr. Bhattacharjee)**  
**First Appellate Authority**

Copy to: PIO (For information)

OFFICE OF FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY

(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)

IIT: DELHI

IITD/FAA/2019/71096

Dated: 11<sup>th</sup> March, 2019

**Sub : Final reply given by First Appellate Authority against his appeal dated 05<sup>th</sup> February , 2019.**

This has reference to your first appeal dated 05<sup>th</sup> February, 2019 received online against your RTI application dated 08<sup>th</sup> January, 2019 which was replied by Public Information Officer on 23<sup>rd</sup> January, 2019.

Not satisfied with the information furnished by Public Information Officer, you have now preferred for first appeal at point nos. (i),(ii),(iv) & (vi) of your RTI Application. As First Appellate Authority of the Institute, I have examined your appeal and further reply against each query is placed as under:

Sr.No.	Query by the Appellant	Reply by First Appellate Authority
(i)	The reply does not mention particularly whether Assistant Professor and Associate Professor are all allowed for 10 nos. of PhD students per faculty member in any dept/center in IITD. No justification is provided for more than 10 PhD students per faculty member in several cases.	The approved strength of PhD scholar per department/centers is 10 times of its faculty strength. Further distribution of the students to a faculty is with respective Deptt./Centre/School.
(ii)	The reply says that there is no provision for Supervisor & Co-supervisor for PhD student in IITD. It follows Joint supervisors norm and credit is shared among both of them. However the faculty appraisal document attached with the reply clearly shows the provision for Co-supervisor under heading 11. Academic Research 11a. PhD Research Supervision. In reply there is no information given on Joint Supervision Mechanism for PhD student. Further despite being asked clearly in the original RTI, this reply does not mention clearly What and How credits earned by faculty	The nomenclature Co-supervisor and Joint Supervisor are synonymous in nature. There exists no document on credit sharing policy

	members are shared among more than one PhD supervisors.	
(iv)	As all work is done on computers now a days, there is always need for desktop PCs by PhD students especially for academic work. This reply gives a vague answer regarding provision for Workstation/Desktop PC for PhD student (FT). The reply says there is no central provision at IITD level. However individual dept/centers MAY provide this facility. This reply does not mention, whether a PhD student (FT) at any dept/center has a Right to have the workstation/Desktop PC for work. If not, then why.	There exists a Computer Service Center (CSC) where more than 500 students/PhD scholars can utilize the computational facility. Similarly most of the Deptt/Center has computational labs for PhD scholars.
(vi)	The reply provides the incomplete proforma of faculty appraisal format as asked in original RTI. The provided format does not clearly mention the individual credits points allocated for different activities done by faculty member, as given in the given proforma. What credit points are allocated to which activity. Please mention. Humbly seeking your attention for my first RTI appeal and wishing to resolve above issues urgently. Thanks, Jatin Mumbai	No such document exists

Hope all the queries raised in your appeal have been answered.

In view of above, your appeal is treated as addressed and fulfilled.

**(Dr. Kalyan Kr. Bhattacharjee)**  
**First Appellate Authority**

Copy to: JR(PG)/JR (For information)