

Dear Mr. Kohli,

It has a reference to your appeal dated 6th December 2010 (application dated 9.11.2010) under RTI Act 2005.

The information as desired is given as under :

	Question	Reply	
a)	How many deaths of the employees and Faculty and spouses due to dengue in IIT	There is no death of any employee/faculty/spouses due to dengue in IIT Hospital. IIT Hospital does not keep record of any such case outside IIT Hospital.	
b)	Details of dengue patients referred to private hospitals and expenses incurred on these cases	The details of 28 cases of dengue patients referred to AIIMS/IIT recognized hospitals is enclosed herewith. The expenditure incurred on institute employees out of these 28 dengue patients is as under :	
		Raghubir Singh	Rs.35935/-
		Alka d/o Prem Chand	Rs.653/-
		Raj Kumari m/o A.K. Singh	Rs.39454/-
		Angoori Devi m/o Dr. Kamlesh	Rs. 2360/-

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Mr. G.S. Kohli
IIT Campus, B-17/A-3
IIT Delhi, New Delhi-110016.

Copy to : PIO

Ref.IITD/R/AA/2011/AREG/
January 5, 2011

Sh. Sujato Ray
179/2 Bangur Avenue
C Block,
Kolkata – 700055

Dear Sir,

It has a reference to your appeal dated December 12, 2010 (application dated August 3, 2010) under RTI Act 2005.

I have perused your original application dated August 3, 2010 seeking information and the reply dated November 4, 2010 of PIO, IIT Delhi. It is observed that the desired information has already been made available by PIO in the manner best possible.

This appeal of yours seeks some information which was not earlier sought vide your original application and hence should be obtained by way of another application to PIO, IIT Delhi and not by way of an appeal to the Appellate Authority. You are, therefore, requested to make an application in this regard to PIO, IIT Delhi. However, a copy of this appeal is being forwarded to PIO for further necessary action. You may please get in touch with him.

Yours sincerely,

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Copy to : PIO

Ref.No.IITD/R/AA/2011/AREG
January 12, 2011

Shri A.K. Nayak,
257, Bhagini Nivedita Sarani
Bediagara
Kolkata - 700077

Subject : Appeal under Right to Information Act 2005

Sir,

It has a reference to your appeal dated 14/12/10 relating to RTI Application dated 11/10/2010.

You are requested to kindly refer to Section 19 of the RTI Act 2005 regarding second appeal. The relevant Section [19(3)] is reproduced herein under for your convenience:

“A second appeal against the decision under sub-section (1) shall lie within ninety days from the date on which the decision should have been made or was actually received, with the Central Information Commission or the State Information Commission:

PROVIDED that the central Information Commission or the State Information Commission, as the case may be, may admit the appeal after the expiry of the period of ninety days if it is satisfied that the appellant was prevented by sufficient cause from filing the appeal in time.

Yours sincerely

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Copy to : PIO

Ref.No.IITD/R/AA/2011/AREG
January 12, 2011

Shri Ratan Kr. Sarkar
Advocate
128A/13, Narikeldanga Main Road
Kolkata - 700054

Subject : Appeal under Right to Information Act 2005

Sir,

It has a reference to your appeal dated 30/12/10 relating to RTI Application dated 15/11/2010.

In this regard it is to inform that the information sought by you has been furnished by Sh. Vivek Raman, PIO vide his letter No. IITD/RTI/2010/160/04 dated 6th Jan., 2011. I hope it meets your requirement.

Yours sincerely

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Copy to :

PIO

Ref.No.IITD/R/AA/2011/AREG
January 24, 2011

Sh. Vivek Mahna
President
Pushpanjali Residents Welfare Association
A-8, Pushpanjali Farms
Bijwasan
New Delhi – 110061

Dear Sir,

This has reference to your RTI application dated 6-1-2011. It may please be noted that the information asked pertains to third party i.e. DIAL. The matter has been taken up with DIAL to seek their acceptance for providing the desired information. Suitable action will be taken after knowing DIALs point of view.

Yours sincerely

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Copy to :

1.	M/s Delhi International Airport Ltd. : New Udaan Bhawan Terminal 3 Opposite ATS Complex International Terminal IGI Airport New Delhi – 110037	It has a reference to your office letter No.DIAL/2010-11/CEO Office/1922 dated 16 th December, 2010. Copy of appeal preferred by Sh. Vivek Mahna is enclosed. Dr. Ashish K. Darpe, Dept. of Mech. Engg. has informed that this study was conducted by him under a DIAL contract and involves commercial confidence in furnishing the desired information to the applicant.
2.	PIO	

Ref.No.IITD/R/AA/2011/AREG
January 27, 2011

Sh. Mahender Singh
H.No. 74/21, Gali No. 5,
Raj Nagar, Khandsa Road
Gurgaon - 122001

Dear Sir,

Kindly refer to the order of Central Information Commission dated 23/12/10 and our discussions in my office on 27/1/2011. The desired information is provided herein under :

- a) Standard Consultancy Assignment Proposal and Agreement form is enclosed herewith.
- b) It is informed that the Institute has decided to defer taking up consultancy works on the third party checking/quality auditing of building and certificate of structural stability types of consultancy jobs as notified vide No.IITD/IRD/M-62/5873 dated 20/07/2010. A copy of this communication is enclosed herewith. It is further informed that IRD Unit of IIT Delhi has not undertaken any such consultancy after the issue of this letter. As such no places have been inspected and no inspections done during last four months.
- c) The information on the comprehensive guidelines with regard to structural stability certificates will be made available to you in due course of time.

With regards,

Yours sincerely

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Copy to : PIO

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/11/
February 2 , 2011

**In the matter related to application dated 21/4/2010 of Sh. Mahender Singh
under RTI Act 2005**

This is in continuation of this office letter No. IITD/R/AA/11/58 dated January 11, 2011. It was requested to furnish the following information :

“The comprehensive guidelines with regard to structural stability certificates after finalization of the same by the Institute level committee constituted by IIT. “

The information was required to be made available by 25/1/2011 so as to enable this office to comply with the orders of Central Information Commission.

It is, therefore, requested to make available this information at the earliest possible and latest by 7/2/2011, since it is to be made available to the applicant by 15/2/2011.:

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Dr. A.K. Jain
Civil Engg. Dept.

Ref.No.IITD/R/AA/2011/AREG
January 27, 2011

Sh. Mahender Singh
H.No. 74/21, Gali No. 5,
Raj Nagar, Khandsa Road
Gurgaon - 122001

Dear Sir,

Kindly refer to the order of Central Information Commission dated 23/12/10 and our discussions in my office on 27/1/2011. The desired information is provided herein under :

- d) Standard Consultancy Assignment Proposal and Agreement form is enclosed herewith.
- e) It is informed that the Institute has decided to defer taking up consultancy works on the third party checking/quality auditing of building and certificate of structural stability types of consultancy jobs as notified vide No.IITD/IRD/M-62/5873 dated 20/07/2010. A copy of this communication is enclosed herewith. It is further informed that IRD Unit of IIT Delhi has not undertaken any such consultancy after the issue of this letter. As such no places have been inspected and no inspections done during last four months.
- f) The information on the comprehensive guidelines with regard to structural stability certificates will be made available to you in due course of time.

With regards,

Yours sincerely

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Copy to : PIO

Ref.No.IITD/R/AA/2011/AREG
February 15, 2011

Sh. Mahender Singh
H.No. 74/21, Gali No. 5,
Raj Nagar, Khandsa Road
Gurgaon - 122001

Dear Sir,

This is in continuation of our letter No. IITD/R/AA/2011/AREG-213 dated January 27, 2011 and our discussions in my office.

It is to inform that IIT Delhi has decided stop taking up of consultancy work related to the third party Checking/Quality Auditing of Buildings and Structural Stability Certificate types of Consultancy Jobs. As such it is not required to finalize the related guidelines.

With regards,

Yours sincerely

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Copy to :

1. Sh. G. Subramanian :
Dy. Registrar
Central Information Commission
Club Building
Old JNU Campus
New Delhi – 110067

It is with reference to decision of
CIC in file No.CIC/WB/A/2010/
000869-AD dated December 23,
2010

2. PIO

Ref.No.IITD/R/AA/2011/AREG
February 23, 2011

Sh. Mrutyunjay Samantara
2, Flatlet, IUAC
Aruna Asaf Ali Marg
New Delhi - 67

Dear Sir,

It has a reference to your appeal dated 10/02/11 relating to RTI Application dated 5/01/2011.

In this regard it is to inform that recruitment and career progression of Group B, C & D category staff in IIT Delhi is governed by a Recruitment & Career Progression Scheme as notified by MHRD. A copy of this scheme has already been made available to you by PIO, IIT Delhi vide letter No.IITD/RTI/2011/178/58 dated 27/01/2011.

Yours sincerely

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Copy to :

PIO

Ref.IITD/R/AA/2011/AREG/
February 25, 2011

Mr. Jagat Sharma
15 – Ali Ganj Kotla,
Mubarakpur,
New Delhi- 110003

Dear Sir,

Kindly refer to your letter dated 15/02/2011 enclosing a copy of the orders of Central Information Commission dated 29/12/2010.

Having perused the related file and having discussed the matter with PIO, IIT Delhi it is seen that you have already been informed by PIO vide an e-mail dated 28th January, 2011 to inspect documents with regard to Item No. 3, 4 & 5 of your appeal dated 2/05/2010. It has also been observed that inspection of documents has already been carried out by you on 4/2/11 & 7/2/11 and photocopies of approximate 7000 pages have been sought by you. PIO has already requested you vide letter dated 10th Feb.,2011 to deposit a sum of Rs.14,000/- to enable him to provide the required documents.

May I request you to kindly comply with the requirements conveyed by PIO so that the required information can be made available and orders of Hon'ble CIC can be complied with.

However, in case, you still have any issues left to be sorted out, you are welcome to contact the undersigned personally.

Yours sincerely,

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Copy to :

1. Sh. G. Subramanian:(Mrs. Annapurna Dixit, Information Commissioner)
Dy. Registrar
Central Information Commission
Club Building
Old JNU Campus
New Delhi – 110067
2. PIO

Ref.IITD/R/AA/2011/AREG/

March 3, 2011

Dr. Shiv Sharma
2, Type III New
Thapar Technology Campus
Patialia 147004

Dear Sir,

Kindly refer to your appeal dated 24/02/2011 and our telephonic conversation.

It is observed that your original application dated 30.12.2011 addressed to PIO, IIT Ropar was forwarded to the office of D.R. (E-I), IIT Delhi vide IIT Ropar's Registrar letter No. 15.2(17)/2010/IITRPR/4691 dated 11th January, 2011. Sh. V.K. Wadhwa, D.R. (E-I), IIT Delhi has furnished the desired information to Registrar, IIT Ropar vide letter No. IITD/IES1/U-5/434 dated 15/2/2011. It seems that the information as furnished by IIT Delhi has not been made available to you as yet. Kindly find enclosed herewith a copy of D.R. (E-I), IIT Delhi letter No. IITD/IES1/U-5/434 dated 15/2/2011 addressed to Registrar, IIT Ropar **enclosing the desired information**

. It may please be observed that the information related to total number of applications received, number of candidates shortlisted for interview and the candidates finally selected for the posts runs into 2334 pages and you are requested to deposit a sum of Rs. 4668/- only as fee representing the cost for providing the desired information.

Yours sincerely,

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Copy to :

PIO, IIT Delhi

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/11/
August 3, 2011

**In the matter related to application dated 29.7.2011 of Sh. Dharam Vir
under RTI Act 2005**

Whereas Sh. Dharam Vir, 512, Sector-16, Chandigarh has filed an appeal against the orders of PIO, IIT Delhi passed vide letter No.IITD/RTI/2011/69/569 dated 21st July, 2011.

The reply of the PIO and the grounds of the appeal made have been perused. It is seen that information sought by the applicant vide para no. 2(a), 2(b) and 2(c) of his application has been declined by the PIO quoting the provisions of Section 7(9) of RTI Act 2005 i.e. on the grounds that the information sought is voluminous, requires long man hours and involves disproportionate diversion of resources. It is seen that the information sought vide these paras is neither voluminous nor it may require long man hours or disproportionate diversion of the resources of public authority. The information can very well be tabulated in few pages and is expected to be available with the concerned sections year wise in a consolidated form. Further, the ground of denial that it would require long man hours is not tenable with the provisions contained in section 7 {3(a)} of the RTI Act in view, which provides for charging of further fees representing the cost of providing the information. PIO could have asked the applicant to deposit this further fees rather than quoting it as a reason for denial of information.

PIO has suggested the applicant to seek the information sought vide para 2 (d) of his application from UGC and CSIR who conduct the National Eligibility Test. While replying so, he has not analyzed in depth the information sought i.e. during which year **NET** was introduced in the Institute. This information should essentially be with the Institute and not with UGC/CSIR who conducts these tests.

With the above in view I am of the considered opinion that grounds of denial of information sought by the applicant vide paras 2 (a), (b), (c) & (d) of his application are not consistent with the provisions of RTI Act and it is therefore directed that the information be furnished to the applicant at the earliest possible and not later than 17.8.2011.

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

PIO

Copy to ;

Director, IIT Delhi : with a request to direct the PIO to furnish the desired information so as to comply with the requirements of RTI Act, 2005 .

\

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/11/
August 4, 2011

**In the matter related to application dated 01.8.2011 of Sh. G.S. Kohli
under RTI Act 2005**

Whereas Sh. G.S. Kohli, B-17/A-3, IIT Campus, New Delhi - 16 has filed an appeal against the orders of PIO, IIT Delhi passed vide letter No.IITD/RTI/2011/36/415 dated 7th June, 2011.

The reply of the PIO and the grounds of the appeal made have been perused. It is seen that the applicant has sought copies of confidential reports and special confidential reports of Sh. Anup Kuksal, Assistant Registrar. The information has been declined by PIO quoting the provisions of section 8(i) and 11 of RTI Act, 2005.

Section 8(i) of RTI Act exempts the following from disclosure of information :

“cabinet papers including records of deliberations of the Council Ministers, Secretaries and other officers”.

Keeping in view the nature of information sought, the provisions of this section are not applicable in this case.

Further section 11 does not provide grounds for declining the information. It lays down a procedure for furnishing information which relates to third party and has been treated as confidential by third party. The PIO in such case is required to give a written notice to third party, within five days from the receipt of the request, that he intends to disclose the information and seek written or oral submissions of the third party regarding whether the information should be disclosed or not. Such submission of third party should be kept in mind while taking a decision about disclosure of information. As such the invoking of provisions contained in section 11 of RTI Act 2005 while declining the information by PIO is not justified.

It is, therefore, directed that the application of Sh. G.S. Kohli should be disposed off accordingly at the earliest possible and latest by 20.8.2011.

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

PIO

Copy to ;

Director, IIT Delhi : with a request to direct the PIO to dispose off the application as per provisions of RTI Act.

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/11/
August 30, 2011

**In the matter related to application dated 25.8.2011 of Sh. Sunil Kumar
under RTI Act 2005**

Whereas Sh. Sunil Kumar, Jr. Tech. Supdt. Physics Dept., IIT Delhi has filed an appeal against the orders of PIO, IIT Delhi passed vide letter dated 02.08. 2011.

The reply of the PIO and the grounds of the appeal have been perused. The applicant has in paras (a) and (b) of his application sought information on as to how the seniority of Group A, B, C & D employees is taken into account for allotment of staff quarters in IIT Delhi. The Public Information Officer has made available a copy of the related rules which meets the requirement of the applicant. The applicant, however, is not satisfied because the information sought is in the nature of how these rules are interpreted while reckoning the seniority. Such information is not available in record and hence cannot be made available.

Vide serial no. (c) of his application, the applicant wants a copy of the seniority list of Group B,C & D staff as on 1.7.2011 which has been declined stating that no such seniority list has been prepared. I have discussed the matter with concerned Asstt. Registrar (E-II), Sh. Ram Prasad and it is informed that such seniority list has not been prepared since there is no promotion quota in recruitment regulation of IIT and hence there is no need to make such seniority list. As such, this information cannot be furnished.

The applicant vide serial no. (d) of his application seeks information on the complete list of all Group A, B, C & D staff quarters in a sequential manner in a performa devised and submitted by him. I have discussed this issue with Estate Officer and it is informed that this information is not available in computerized form. This information will have to be curled out from available voluminous record and has thus been rightly declined quoting the provision of section 7 (9) of RTI Act, 2006 since it would disproportionately divert the resources of public authority. Institute Engineer has however offered to make available this information to the applicant whenever contacted. Sh. Sunil Kumar is, therefore, advised to contact the Estate Officer in this regard.

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Sh. Sunil Kumar
Jr. Tech. Supdt.
Physics Dept.

Copy to :

1. PIO
2. E.O
3. A.R. (E-II)

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/11/
August 30, 2011

**In the matter related to application dated 25.8.2011 of Sh. Sunil Kumar
under RTI Act 2005**

Whereas Sh. Sunil Kumar, Jr. Tech. Supdt. Physics Dept., IIT Delhi has filed an appeal against the orders of PIO, IIT Delhi passed vide letter dated 29.07. 2011.

The reply of the PIO and the grounds of the appeal have been perused. The applicant has sought some information regarding his medical claims which has been furnished by PIO. It is however observed that though information on the voucher number, the amount of bill passed and date on which debit advice was sent to bank has already been made available, the information related to the amount of claim submitted has not been made available. Hence this appeal.

I have discussed the matter with the custodian of the concerned record, Sh.V.K. Arora, Sr. A.O. who has agreed to make available this information to PIO. It is accordingly decided that the information be made available by Sh. V.K. Arora to PIO for onward transmission to the applicant at an early date but not later than 20th Sept., 2011. Sh. Arora has, however, offered to make available the information related to a particular voucher on priority, if so desired by the applicant. If so is the urgency, Sh. Sunil Kumar may contact Sh. V.K. Arora.

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Sh. Sunil Kumar
Jr. Tech. Supdt.
Physics Dept.

Copy to :

1. PIO
2. D.R. (A/Cs)
3. Sh. V.K. Arora,
Sr. A.O.

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/11/
August 30, 2011

**In the matter related to application dated 23.8.2011 of Sh. Manoj K. Kamra
under RTI Act 2005**

Whereas Sh. Manoj K. Kamra, 7B37, Near Church, JNV Nagar, Bikaner has filed an appeal against the orders of PIO, IIT Delhi passed vide letter dated 29.06. 2011.

The reply of the PIO and the grounds of the appeal have been perused. It is seen that the applicant has sought information on the number of attempts/back papers/improvements of the candidates during graduation while seeking admission to PG programmes of IIT Delhi.

I have discussed the matter with the custodian of information i.e. D.R. (PGS&R) who has informed that while admitting students to PG programmes, IIT Delhi does not take cognizance in any manner of the attempts/back papers/improvements of the applicants during graduation. The eligibility criterion for this purpose is acquiring of UG degree before the date of registration. As such, such information is not sought from the candidate at the time of applying for admission to PG programmes.

With the above in view, the information sought by Sh. Manoj is not available with IIT Delhi and hence cannot be furnished.

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Sh. Manoj K. Kamra,
7B37, Near Church,
JNV Nagar, Bikaner

Copy to :

1. PIO
2. D.R. (PGS&R)

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/11/
September 8, 2011

**In the matter related to application dated 12.9.2011 of Sh. Pranjal Choudhary
under RTI Act 2005**

Whereas Sh. Pranjal Choudhary, D-43, Nilgiri Hostel, IIT Delhi has filed an appeal against the orders of PIO, IIT Delhi passed vide letter dated 14.07. 2011.

The reply of the PIO and the grounds of the appeal have been perused. The applicant has sought the answer scripts of all the students of Minor I, Minor II, Major and quizzes of SML 802. The PIO has on the basis of information furnished by Course Coordinator, informed that answer sheets of SML802 for Minor I, Minor II and quizzes have already been distributed to the students. The applicant states that these answer scripts were collected back by TA, Mr. Muqbil Burhan. I have discussed the matter again with Prof. Sudhir K. Jain, Course Coordinator and it has been reconfirmed that these answer scripts were distributed to the students and were not collected back by Mr. Muqbil Burhan. As such the information/documents being sought by Sh. Pranjal Choudhary cannot be provided since these have already been handed over to the students concerned.

PIO has, on the basis of information furnished by Course Coordinator, also informed that the answer scripts of major examinations are kept only for six months and hence are not available. Sh. Pranjal Choudhary has sought a copy of such six months rule. This issue has also been discussed with the Course Coordinator who has reconfirmed that as per practice, answer scripts of major exams are kept only for six months period and that these are actually not available. As such this information can also not be furnished.

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Sh. Pranjal Choudhary
(pranjal_ee@student.iitd.ac.in)

Copy to :

1. PIO
2. Dr. Sudhir K. Jain,
Course Coordinator, SML802

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/11/
September 23, 2011

**In the matter related to application of Sh. B.B. Pradhan
under RTI Act 2005**

Whereas Sh. B.B. Pradhan JC-15 II Floor, Khirki Extn., Malviya Nagar, New Delhi – 110017 has filed an application under Right to Information Act on 30.4.2011. The PIO has furnished the information vide his letter No. IITD/RTI/20/2011/402 dated 6th June, 2011.

Sh. B.B. Pradhan has vide letter received in the office of Appellate Authority on 20.9.2011 has preferred an appeal stating that the PIO has, instead of furnishing point wise reply, simply provided certain documents which does not constitute a proper reply to his application.

The provision of appeal against the orders of PIO is available under Section 19 of the RTI Act and the time limit for preferring such appeal is 30 days from the date of reply by PIO. In this case the appeal has been made after the expiry of this statutory period and as such the appeal is barred by time. The appellant has not furnished any grounds for this delay.

With the above in view, the appeal filed by Sh.B.B. Pradhan is rejected.

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Sh. B.B. Pradhan
JC-15 II Floor, Khirki Extn.
Malviya Nagar,
New Delhi – 110017

Copy to :

PIO

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/11/
October 12, 2011

**In the matter related to application of Dr. Ashok Sharma
under RTI Act 2005**

Whereas Dr. Ashok Sharma, Gera Cottage, 6B/2, Bank Colony, Karnal has filed an application under Right to Information Act on 27.8.2011. The PIO has furnished the information vide his letter No. IITD/RTI/2011/854 dated 21st Sept., 2011.

The desired information is furnished as under :

- a) Photocopy of applications from faculty members to avail leave of various kinds indicating the address during leave as made available by Dept. of Textile Tech. during the months of April and May, 2011 are enclosed herewith.
- b) As informed by Dept. of Textile Tech. Dept. it does not keep any record of papers forwarded through proper channel. Further as per records of Est. I Section, no representation/claim/grievance from faculty member of Dept. of Textile Tech. during July 15, 2011 to August 15, 2011 have been received. by it

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Dr. Ashok Sharma,
Gera Cottage, 6B/2,
Bank Colony, Railway Road,
Karnal – 132 001, Haryana

Copy to :

PIO

IITD/R/AA/2011/AREG/
October 10, 2011

Sh. Ranbir Singh
Vill. Chaura
Raghunathpur
Sector – 22, Noida
G.B. Nagar

Subject : Non receipt of information in a prescribed period from PIO vide your request dated 01.09.11.

Dear Sir,

Kindly refer to your appeal dated 4/010/2011.

I have been informed that the desired information has already been sent to you by PIO vide letter No. IITD/RTI/2011/134/872 dated 04.10.2011

Yours sincerely,

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Copy to :

PIO, IIT Delhi

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/11/
October 27, 2011

**In the matter related to application dated 1.8.2011 of Sh. Pranjal Choudhary
under RTI Act 2005**

Whereas Sh. Pranjal Choudhary, D-43, Nilgiri Hostel, IIT Delhi has filed an appeal against the orders of PIO, IIT Delhi passed vide letter dated 19.08. 2011.

The original application of the applicant, reply of the PIO and the grounds of the appeal have been perused. It is seen that information regarding the list of students has already been furnished vide letters dated 16th June, 2011 and 18th June, 2011. However, the information regarding marks in Minor I, Minor II, Quizzes and Major Examinations of all the students in tabular form have not been provided. This information relates to third party and hence has not been furnished rightly by the PIO keeping in view the provisions contained in the RTI Act.

Regarding remaining information sought by the applicant it is informed that the matter has been discussed in person with Prof. Sudhir K.Jain, Course Coordinator, SML802 and it has also been informed that there are no such documents like 'detailed marking scheme' and 'blue print of assessment and award of marks' available with the Dept. Further, no copy of question papers are retained by the dept. As such this information cannot be furnished since it is not available.

It is also informed that efforts were made to contact you in person at Cell No. (7838607662) provided in your application so as to understand the requirements of the information being sought. However, it was out of service.

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Sh. Pranjal Choudhary
(pranjal_ee@student.iitd.ac.in)

Copy to :

1. PIO
2. Prof. Sudhir K. Jain : It has a reference to our
Course Coordinator, SML802 discussions on the issue.

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/11/
October 13, 2011

**In the matter related to application dated 22.6.2011 of Sh. Kulbir Singh
under RTI Act 2005**

Whereas Sh. Kulbir Singh, 67, Kailash Hills, East of Kailash, New Delhi has filed an appeal against the orders of PIO, IIT Delhi passed vide letter dated 14.07. 2011.

The reply of the PIO and the grounds of the appeal have been perused. It is seen that the information being sought is in the nature of seeking certain explanations and justifications for an earlier decision of the Institute in relaxing qualifications during the process of recruitment for the post of Dy. Registrar for example the applicant wants to know the reasons for relaxation, reasons behind interpretation of rule in a particular manner etc.

Such queries do not fall under the definition of 'information' and 'right to information' as defined under clauses (f) and (j) of section 2 of the Act. As such the information sought by the applicant vide above referred application cannot be furnished.

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Sh. Kulbir Singh,
67, Kailash Hills,
East of Kailash,
New Delhi - 110065

Copy to :

1. PIO

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/11/
October 20, 2011

**In the matter related to application dated 17.8.2011 Dr. Subiman Kundu
under RTI Act 2005**

Whereas Dr. Subiman Kundu, Dept. of Mathematics, IIT Delhi has filed an appeal against the orders of PIO, IIT Delhi passed vide letter dated 15.09. 2011.

The reply of the PIO and the grounds of the appeal have been perused. The applicant, Dr. Subiman Kundu, has in his appeal pointed that the information furnished by PIO is incomplete in certain references. Specific instances where information furnished by PIO has been found to be incomplete have also been pointed out.

I have discussed the matter with Sh. V.K. Wadhwa, Dy. Registrar (E-I) who is the custodian of the concerned record and it is informed that all available information was made available to PIO. However, he has expressed his willingness to make available the information which might not have been provided earlier.

With the above in view and keeping in view that Dr. Subiman Kundu is working in IIT Delhi only, it would be appropriate if the applicant, Dr. Subiman Kundu, gets in touch with Sh. V.K. Wadhwa and will be supplied the information which has not been supplied earlier by PIO. In fact Sh. V.K. Wadhwa has informed that Dr. Subiman Kundu is regular in touch with him and as such it would not be difficult for him to spare some time to meet Sh. V.K. Wadhwa for the purpose of procuring the desired information.

It is, therefore, decided that Dr. Subiman Kundu may contact Sh. V.K.Wadhwa on mutually convenient date and time.

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Dr. Subiman Kundu
Dept. of Mathematics
IIT Delhi

Copy to :

1. Sh. V.K. Wadhwa, D.R. (E-I)
2. PIO

Office of Registrar and Appellate Authority

No. IITD/R/2011/AA/11
Dated: 21-10-2011

Sub.: **In the matter related to Appeal dated 19-10-2011 of
Dr. Subiman Kundu under RTI Act 2005**

Whereas Dr. Subiman Kundu, Deptt. of Mathematics, IIT Delhi has filed an Appeal against the orders of PIO, IIT Delhi vide letter No. IITD/RTI/2011/108/786 dated 13th Sept 2011 and IIT/RTI/2011/108/860 dated 3-10-2011.

The reply of the PIO and the grounds of the appeal have been perused. The applicant, Dr. Subiman Kundu, has in his appeal pointed that the information furnished by PIO is incomplete in certain regards. Specific instances, where information furnished by PIO has been found to be incomplete, have also been quoted.

I have discussed the matter with Sh. V.K. Wadhwa, Dy. Registrar(E-I) who is the custodian of the concerned record and it is informed that all available information has already been made available.

With the above in view and keeping in view that Dr. Subiman Kundu is working in IIT Delhi only, it would be appropriate if the applicant, Dr. Subiman Kundu, gets in touch with Sh. V.K. Wadhwa who has consented to make available the information which the applicant feels might not have been provided earlier.

It is, therefore, decided that Dr. Subiman Kundu may meet Sh. V.K. Wadhwa on a mutually convenient date and time and collect the remaining information which will be provided free of cost.

(Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Dr. Subiman Kundu
Deptt. of Mathematics
IIT Delhi

Copy to:

1. Sh. V.K. Wadhwa, D.R(E-I)
2. PIO, RTI Cell

Office of Registrar and Appellate Authority

No. IITD/R/2011/AA/11
Dated: 24-10-2011

Sub.: **In the matter related to Appeal dated 9-10-2011 of
Sh. Arvinder Singh, Sukhdev Vihar, N.D-25 under RTI Act 2005**

Whereas Sh. Arvinder Singh resident of DDA SFS Flat No. 9, Pocket-B, Sukhdev Vihar, New Delhi-110025 has filed an Appeal against the orders of PIO dated 25-8-2010, 12-9-2011 and 14-9-2011. The reply of the PIO and the grounds of appeal has been perused.

It is seen that the information being sought by the applicant in his appeal is in the nature of queries and explanations e.g. he wants to know as to why a particular medicine has been wrongly informed to be a banned medicine? He is also seeking an explanation as to why the medicines not provided by IIT Delhi cannot be purchased from the market and what legal right the CMO has not to give the prescribed medicine? The details being sought by Sh. Arvinder Singh as referred to above do not comprise on information as defined under Right to Information Act and as such cannot be furnished.

(Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Sh. Arvinder Singh
DDA SFS Flat No. 9
Pocket-B
Sukhdev Vihar
New Delhi-110025

Copy to:

1. Sh. V.K. Wadhwa, D.R(E-I)
2. PIO, RTI Cell

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/11/
October 31, 2011

**In the matter related to application dated 06.9.2011 Dr. Prasanna T
under RTI Act 2005**

Whereas Dr. Prasanna T, Plot No. 24, Citizen Avenue, Mahaveer Nagar Extension, Lawspet, Pondicherry has filed an appeal against the orders of PIO, IIT Delhi dated 21.09. 2011.

The reply of the PIO and the grounds of the appeal have been perused. Chairman, JEE was requested to make available a copy of the information sought by the appellant i.e. minutes/resolutions of IIT JAB meeting pertaining to the decision that each answer sheet will have a self-carbon copy of the ORS sheet of IIT JEE that the students can take home. In response thereto, Chairman, JEE has forwarded a copy of orders of Hon. Central Information Commission dated 31.3.2011 in the case titled Sh. Kishan Lal v/s IIT Mumbai and IIT Chennai. He has stated that vide these orders CIC has held the earlier stand of the IITs to not to provide a copy of the JAB minutes to the public.

I have perused the above orders of Hon. Central Information Commission. Observing that certain information (as referred to in paras 5 (b) (c) (d) and (e) of these orders) is confidential, has the potential of inciting of an offence and may also adversely affect the economic interests of the state, CIC has decided that public authority may not disclose the minutes of the meeting of IIT JEE 2010 to the appellant. However, it cannot be construed as a blanket decision to not to disclose the minutes of IIT JAB/JEE committees to the public . It would be required to analyze the nature of the information being sought while applying the ratio of this judgment. To me, the information being sought here i.e. the decision of JAB Committee to have a self carbon copy of ORS has no such potential of either compromising with the confidentiality or credibility or a breakdown of the examination system. As such it does not invite the provisions of any of the exemption clauses under RTI Act, 2005.

The matter was accordingly discussed with Chairman, JEE who has informed that the minutes of an IIT JAB Committee regarding IIT JEE may contain some other information also which may invite application of some of the exemption clauses. It is also informed that this recommendation/decision **has already been put in the public domain** through the "Information Brochure of IIT JEE 2012". He has also made available a photo copy of the relevant portion of the Information Brochure and it is seen that a very detailed mention of this decision has been made in para 2.2 of the Information Brochure.

With the above in view, I am of the considered opinion that the information being sought by the appellant need not be disclosed. However, a photocopy of the Information Brochure IIT JEE 2012 with relevant portions highlighted in the yellow is enclosed herewith to meet the requirement of the appellant.

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Dr. Prasanna T,
Plot No. 24,
Citizen Avenue,
Mahaveer Nagar Extension,
Lawspet, Pondicherry

Copy to :

1. Chairman, JEE
2. PIO

Dear Sir,

It has a reference to your letter dated 28.10.2011.

The information sought vide this letter is furnished as under :

	Query	Reply
1.	Total No. of Examiners appointed for evaluating the thesis of each Ph.D. scholar.	A photocopy of the relevant rule (Rule 13.1 – Board of Examiners) is enclosed herewith. It provides the desired information i.e. total number of examiners appointed for and total number of internal examiners appointed for evaluating the thesis of a Ph.D. scholar.
2.	Total No. of Internal Examiner appointed for evaluating the thesis of each Ph.D. scholar.	
3.	Method of appointment of Internal Examiner for evaluating the thesis of each Ph.D. scholar, if any	As made out from the rule quoted above, the internal examiner (s) are normally supervisor(s).
4.	Date of holding of pre-Ph.D. seminar in respect of the Ph.D. students of batch 2003 & other Ph.D. students	This information was not sought for vide your application to PIO dated 11.10.2010
5.	a) What kind of examination the same supervisor under whom the research work is carried on, will be conducted. Kindly intimate	The queries i.e. what purpose is served by getting the thesis evaluated from the supervisor and what kind of examination it will be, does not fall under the definition of information as laid down under RTI Act, 2005. Further no such information is available.
	b) What purpose will be served to send the thesis confidentially to the supervisor under whom the research work is carried on and who has already recommended the same to DRC/CRC	

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Sh A.K. Nayak
257, Bhagini Nivedita Sarani
Bediagara
Kolkata - 700077

Copy to : PIO

Office of Registrar and Appellate Authority

No. IITD/R/2011/AA/11
Date : 18-11-2011

Sub.: **In the matter related to Appeal dated 15-11-2011 of
Sh. Arvinder Singh, Sukhdev Vihar, N.D-25 under RTI Act 2005**

I have discussed with PIO and it is informed .that the reply to your application dated 14.10.2011 has already been furnished vide letter No.IIT/RTI/2011/181 dated 15.11.2011.

(Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Sh. Arvinder Singh
DDA SFS Flat No. 9
Pocket-B
Sukhdev Vihar
New Delhi-110025

Copy to:

1. Sh. V.K. Wadhwa, D.R(E-I)
2. PIO, RTI Cell

Office of Registrar and Appellate Authority

No. IITD/R/2011/AA/11

Date : 30-11-2011

Sub.: **In the matter related to Appeal dated 15-11-2011 of
Sh. Arvinder Singh, Sukhdev Vihar, N.D-25 under RTI Act 2005**

It is in continuation of this office letter dated 18/22.11.2011 and your appeals dated 22.11.2011 and 28.11.2011. As already informed I have perused your original application and it is observed that the information sought by you has already been furnished by PIO vide his letter dated 15.11.2011.

Now vide your appeals dated 28.11.2011, you have raised some grievances regarding denial of medical facilities to your mother and forcing her to purchase the prescribed medicines from the market and for not reimbursing the bills submitted by you. It is also informed that you have already filed a case with Consumer Redressal Forum in this regard. You have made a request to provide medical facilities to your mother without any deduction in the medicines and pass the pending bills. In this regard it is to inform that appellate authority has no jurisdiction to redress the grievances. You are advised to contact appropriate authorities in this regard.

(Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Sh. Arvinder Singh
DDA SFS Flat No. 9
Pocket-B
Sukhdev Vihar
New Delhi-110025

Copy to:

PIO, RTI Cell

No. IITD/ R/AA/2011/
December 12, 2011

Dear Sir,

It has a reference to your appeal dated 27.11.2011.

In this regard it is to inform that IITs do not reserve the seats for the wards of defence personnel. As such reply to various queries furnished by you may please be treated as NIL.

A copy of letter No. 15-23/2007-TS.II DATED 30th November, 2007 from MHRD on the subject "Preferential allotment of Courses in Admission to the Wards/ Dependents of Defence Personnel in the professional college/institution under Govt. of India" has already been made available by PIO vide letter No. IITD/RTI/2011/201/1124 dated 28th November, 2011.

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Sh Shivesh Chandra Shresthi
51, MIG, Dayanand Vihar
Phase – I
Post Office N.S.I.
Kalyanpur
Kanpur – 208 017
Uttar Pradesh

Copy to :

1. Chairman, JEE
2. PIO

Office of Registrar and Appellate Authority

No. IITD/R/2011/AA/11
Date : 22-12-2011

Sub.: **In the matter related to Appeal dated 12-12-2011 of
Sh. Arvinder Singh, Sukhdev Vihar, N.D-25 under RTI Act 2005**

It has a reference to your second appeal dated 12.12.2011. It is not clear as to whether this appeal has been made to the undersigned as Appellate Authority of IIT Delhi or to Hon'ble Central Information Commission.

However, in case if it has been preferred before the undersigned as an Appellate Authority of IIT, it is advised to take note the reply furnished vide letter No.IITD/R/2011/AA/AREG-2131 dated 5.12.2011. However, it is also informed that there is no such provision for second appeal before Appellate Authority of the concerned organization under RTI..

(Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Sh. Arvinder Singh
DDA SFS Flat No. 9
Pocket-B
Sukhdev Vihar
New Delhi-110025

Copy to:

1. Sh. V.K. Wadhwa, D.R(E-I)
2. PIO, RTI Cell

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/11/
Date : 27.12.2011

**In the matter related to appeal dated 19.12.2011 of Sh. Kulbir Singh
under RTI Act 2005**

It has a reference to your appeal dated 19.12.2011. The desired information is furnished as under :

	Query	Reply
1.	Full Noting portion of relaxation of qualification from 55% to 50% in the case of Dy. Registrar Recruitment/advertisement in the year 2009 along with its approval.	Enclosed.
2.	Is there any vigilance case is pending on this relaxation from 55% to 50% in the case of Dy. Registrar Recruitment/advertisement in the year 2009.	As has been enquired from CVO, IIT Delhi, there is no vigilance case pending in this regard.
3.	Who is the competent authority for this relaxation in qualification for the recruitment for the post of Dy. Registrar in the Institute?	This information has not been sought for vide original application dated 22.6.2011. No fresh issues/information should be raised/sought by way of an appeal before the appellate authority. The jurisdiction for filing original application vests with PIO.
4.	Copy of approval to implement UGC norms in the Institute in this case.	
5.	Whether MHRD has given permission for this relaxation in recruitment rules for the post of Dy. Registrar in the Institute?	

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Sh. Kulbir Singh,
67, Kailash Hills,
East of Kailash,
New Delhi - 110065

Copy to :

1. PIO
2. CVO : Reply at (2) has been confirmed from the office of CVO.

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/11/
September 8, 2011

**In the matter related to application dated 12.9.2011 of Sh. Pranjal Choudhary
under RTI Act 2005**

Whereas Sh. Pranjal Choudhary, D-43, Nilgiri Hostel, IIT Delhi has filed an appeal against the orders of PIO, IIT Delhi passed vide letter dated 14.07. 2011.

The reply of the PIO and the grounds of the appeal have been perused. The applicant has sought the answer scripts of all the students of Minor I, Minor II, Major and quizzes of SML 802. The PIO has on the basis of information furnished by Course Coordinator, informed that answer sheets of SML802 for Minor I, Minor II and quizzes have already been distributed to the students. The applicant states that these answer scripts were collected back by TA, Mr. Muqbil Burhan. I have discussed the matter again with Prof. Sudhir K. Jain, Course Coordinator and it has been reconfirmed that these answer scripts were distributed to the students and were not collected back by Mr. Muqbil Burhan. As such the information/documents being sought by Sh. Pranjal Choudhary cannot be provided since these have already been handed over to the students concerned.

PIO has, on the basis of information furnished by Course Coordinator, also informed that the answer scripts of major examinations are kept only for six months and hence are not available. Sh. Pranjal Choudhary has sought a copy of such six months rule. This issue has also been discussed with the Course Coordinator who has reconfirmed that as per practice, answer scripts of major exams are kept only for six months period and that these are actually not available. As such this information can also not be furnished.

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Sh. Pranjal Choudhary
(pranjal_ee@student.iitd.ac.in)

Copy to :

1. PIO
2. Dr. Sudhir K. Jain,
Course Coordinator, SML802

