

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/14/
January 24, 2014

**In the matter related to appeal dated nil of Sh. Mohit K. Mudgal
under RTI Act 2005**

Whereas Sh. Mohit K. Mudgal has vide application dated 11-12-2013 sought some information. The said information has been furnished by PIO vide his letter No. IITD/RTI/2013/207/838 dated 31st October, 2013. Not satisfied with the information furnished by the PIO, the appellant has now submitted this appeal. It is stated that though the PIO has furnished documents dated 1986 when the services of Sh. Ranvir Singh were regularized, he has denied the information related to departmental inquiry against him which is only 16 years old. It is seen from the reply dated 31st October, 2013 that the PIO has in his reply mentioned that this record is not available/traceable.

I have perused the related record and it is seen that Estt. II has made best efforts to locate this record but it could not be traced. Last opportunity to Estt. II Section was given on 21st January, 2013 directing that the record should be traced and made available immediately. A.R. (E-II) has today produced the noting portion of the personal file of Sh. Ranvir Singh where it has been mentioned on note page – 28 (by Supdt. Estt. II Section) in his note dated 9-8-2011 that the file related to disciplinary case is not available. At that time also efforts have been made to locate the record and in another note dated 24-8-2011 at note page – 29, Junior Supdt. has recorded that she has made best efforts to locate the file related to disciplinary case of Sh. Ranvir Singh but the same could not be traced. It is thus made out that the file related to disciplinary case of Sh. Ranvir Singh has actually been lost and efforts to locate the same have been made in the past also which were not successful.

With the above in view, the desired information cannot be furnished since it is not available.

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Sh. Mohit K. Mudgal
7, Factory Road, First Floor,
Near Vardhaman Mahavir,
Medical College,
New Delhi - 110029

Copy to :

PIO
A.R. (E-II)

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/14/
January 30, 2014

**In the matter related to appeal dated 17-1-2014 of Sh. Abdur Rahman
under RTI Act 2005**

Whereas Sh. Abdur Rahman has vide application dated 18-09-2013 sought some information. The said information has been furnished by PIO vide his letter No. IITD/RTI/2013/183/744 dated 27th Sept., 2013. Not satisfied with the information furnished by the PIO, the appellant has now submitted this appeal.

I have perused the related record and it is seen that the applicant has sought information regarding number of Indian students studying in IIT Delhi which has already been furnished by PIO vide letter dated 27th Sept., 2013.

Applicant has also sought such information about all Muslim students which has not been furnished observing that no such record is maintained in IIT Delhi. I have re-confirmed from the concerned section (UG & PG Sections) and it is confirmed that no separate record/information for Muslim students is maintained.

With the above in view, the information related to number of Indian muslim students studying in IIT Delhi cannot be furnished since it is not available.

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Sh. Abdur Rahman
A-11, Gulmohar Residence
Queen Garden Road, Camp,
Pune – 411 001, Maharashtra

Copy to :

PIO

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/14/
January 30, 2014

**In the matter related to appeal dated 22-1-2014 of Dr. Amit Sharma
under RTI Act 2005**

Whereas Dr. Amit Sharma has vide application dated 30-11-2013 sought some information. The PIO has vide his letter No. IITD/RTI/2013/257/6 dated 2nd January, 2014 refused to furnish this information observing that it is exempted from disclosure under Section 8 (i) (e) & (j) of the RTI Act, 2005 as it relates to personal information, the disclosure of which has no relationship to any public activity or interest.

I have perused the information being sought and I am of the considered opinion that it does not qualify to be categorized as 'personal information'. The 'personal' is an attribute which applies to an individual and not to any institution and with this understanding of the word 'personal', the information cannot be categorized to be personal.

It is, therefore, advised that the information sought for should be provided to the applicant at the earliest and latest by 10-2-2014.

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

PIO

Copy to :

Dr. Amit Sharma
r/o Nai Basti Kana Chak
Akhnoor Road, Jammu
J&K – 181 206

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/14/
February 14, 2014

**In the matter related to appeal dated 20-1-2014 of Sh. S.M. Venkataramaiah
Ramagiri under RTI Act 2005**

Whereas Sh. S.M. Venkataramaiah Ramagiri has sought some information vide application dated 23-12-2013 received in the office of PIO on 27-12-2013. . The said information has been furnished by PIO vide his letter dated 23-1-2014. Not satisfied with the information furnished by the PIO, the applicant has now preferred this appeal dated 29-1-2014 received in this office on 7-2-2014.

Vide this appeal he has sought copy of the orders allowed by IIT Delhi to its employees for change over from CPG to GPF. In fact this information was sought at sr. no. 2 of his original application also which has not been furnished by PIO. The appellant has vide this appeal also sought the information related to present scientific/design (EDP) cadres and pay scales. This information was also sought at sr. no. 4 of his original application but has not been furnished by PIO. As such PIO is hereby advised to furnish a copy of the desired orders to the applicant/appellant at the earliest.

Another information sought vide his original application and this appeal is related to EDP pay scales. Replying thereto the PIO has already informed that IIT Delhi system is not aware of EDP pay scales and as such he is justified in not making the desired information available.

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Sh. S.M. Venkataramaiah Ramagiri
Systems Designer
Computer Centre
National Institute of Industrial Engineering
Vihar Lake Road, NITIE Powai,
Mumbai - 400087

Copy to :
PIO

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/14/
March 25, 2014

**In the matter related to appeal dated 11-3-2014 of Sh. Om Prakash under RTI Act
2005**

Whereas Sh. Om Prakash has sought some information vide application dated 17-01-2014. The said information has been furnished by PIO vide his letter dated 20-2-2014. Not satisfied with the information furnished by the PIO, the applicant has now preferred this appeal dated 11-3-2014.

I have perused the appeal. The appellant has stated that the information furnished by PIO is incomplete with respect to the details of the money actually paid to the institution on various items for each day of examination. It is seen that this information was sought by the appellant in his original application. However, while furnishing the desired information, norms for payment have been furnished without providing details of the actual money paid to the institute. It is therefore advised that the information may be furnished to the appellant at an early date.

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

PIO

Copy to :

Sh. Om Prakash
A-213, First Floor
Himmat Nagar
Gopalpura Mod
Jaipur – 302 018
Rajasthan

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/14/
March 27, 2014

**In the matter related to appeal dated 19-2-2014 of Sh. Arjun Sah
under RTI Act 2005**

Whereas Sh. Arjun Sah has sought some information vide application dated 12-12-2014. . The said information has been furnished by PIO vide his letter dated 23-1-2014. Not satisfied with the information furnished by the PIO, the applicant has now preferred this appeal dated 19-2-2014.

I have perused the appeal. In this regard it is informed that a Committee has since been constituted vide No. IITD/CDN/2014/315 dated March 14, 2014 (copy enclosed) . The Committee has yet not submitted its report.

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Sh. Arjun Sah
Registrar Office
IIT Delhi

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/14/
April 21, 2014

**In the matter related to appeal dated 1-4-2014 of Sh. Sourav Goel
under RTI Act 2005**

Whereas Sh. Sourav Goel has sought some information vide application dated 1-3-2014. . The said information has been furnished by PIO vide his letter dated 12-3-2014. Not satisfied with the information furnished by the PIO, the applicant has now preferred this appeal dated 1-4-2014.

I have perused the request of the applicant. He has sought correct answers to some questions of Chemistry and Physics from the Heads of Chemistry and Physics Dept. of IIT Delhi. He has stated that the authorities of the concerned Board of School Education have evaluated these questions wrongly and hence an investigation is required. PIO has vide his letter dated 12-3-2014 refused to furnish the information sought for quoting Section 2(j) of the RTI Act holding that such information is not available with IIT Delhi.

Right to Information Act provides for seeking of information held by or under the control of any public authority and is not a tool to seek investigation or opinions or correct replies to some problems/questions. As such the information being sought by the applicant does not qualify to be an information and has rightly been refused by the PIO.

With the above in view the appeal is dismissed.

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Mr. Sourav Goel
3rd Floor, Goel Centre
Raghunath Bazar
Jammu – 180 001 (J&K)

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/14/
May 9, 2014

**In the matter related to appeal dated 19-4-2014 of Sh. S.K. Pal
under RTI Act 2005**

Whereas Sh. S.K. Pal has sought some information vide application dated 24-2-2014. The said information has been furnished by PIO vide his letter dated 21-3-2014. Not satisfied with the information furnished by the PIO, the applicant has now preferred this appeal dated 19-4-2014.

The appellant has stated that the information furnished is incomplete and incorrect since the complete details of licensee have not been provided. The information as provided by the custodian of the relevant information i.e. A.R (SA) states that M/s Hindustan Uniliver Ltd. has not disclosed the name of franchise. As such it will not be possible to furnish this information being not available.

The appellant has also stated that PIO has failed to provide information regarding "complete dues as on date against the licensee". I have perused the reply furnished by PIO vide his letter dated 21-3-2014 and it is seen that details including the billing amount and the receipt number and date vide which paid have been made available. It has also been mentioned that the bills for the period 1-7-2013 to 31-12-2013 are still unpaid and under processing. As such the information provided by PIO in this regard is complete. **However, the appellant is at liberty to point out specific lacunae and in that case PIO should provide the deficient information within 15 days.**

The appeal also states that PIO has failed to provide reasoned information against query related to performance of the licensee. He has observed that how can the performance be held satisfactory when license fee and water & electricity charges are in arrears. In this regard it is to be seen that RTI, 2005 provides for making available all the information as provided by the custodian to the PIO and the PIO has no responsibility to analyze such information and furnish it with reasoning and logic. As such no additional information can be provided by the PIO on this query.

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Sh. S.K. Pal,
Advocate,
M-18, Civil Wing,
Tiz Hazari Courts,
Delhi – 110054

Copy to :

PIO

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/14/
May 9, 2014

**In the matter related to appeal dated 31-3-2014 of Sh. Vijay Kumar Kathuria
under RTI Act 2005**

Whereas Sh. Vijay Kumar Kathuria has sought some information vide application dated 30-1-2014. The said information has been furnished by PIO vide his letter dated 3-3-2014. Not satisfied with the information furnished by the PIO, the applicant has now preferred this appeal dated 31-3-2014.

The appellant had sought information regarding certain details like roll number and marks obtained by the candidates who have secured 1-150 positions in JAM 2013. Information was also sought regarding the qualifying degree/diploma possessed by all such candidates and the name of the university from where such degree or diploma was obtained.

The information has been denied by the PIO vide his letter dated 3-3-2014 stating that it has no relationship to any public activity or interest and that this information has been kept in fiduciary relationship.

Central Information Commission has in its earlier decisions held that information relating to a public exam will not find exemption under any of the sub clauses of the Section 8 (i) of RTI Act. It would, therefore, be required to furnish all available information in respect of JAM 2013. The PIO is accordingly advised to provide merit list and other available records of the candidates who have secured 1-150 positions in JAM 2013.

However, the information related to the qualifying degree/diploma of these candidates and the university from where it has been obtained is not compiled by JAM and keeping in view that provisions of RTI provide for furnishing information in the manner available with the organization and do not entail the responsibility of furnishing it after compilation in the specific format prescribed by the appellant, it may not be possible to furnish this information.

These issues have been made clear to the appellant on telephone also and he has also been provided the details of the PIO including phone no. with directions given to PIO to let the appellant inspect the information in case he so desires.

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Sh. Vijay Kumar Kathuria
B-150, Nanakpura,
New Delhi – 110 021

Copy to :

PIO

OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)

IIT : DELHI

No. IITD/R/AA/14/

May 13, 2014

**In the matter related to appeal dated nil of Sh. Sachin Gupta
under RTI Act 2005**

Whereas Sh. Sachin Gupta has sought some information vide application dated 23-2-2014. The said information has been furnished by PIO vide his letter dated 21-3-2014. Not satisfied with the information furnished by the PIO, the applicant has now preferred this appeal dated nil received in this office on 23-4-2014.

I have perused the appeal. The appellant has stated that PIO has failed to provide complete details of the licensees, license deeds executed by them and a copy of the license deeds. This contention of the appellant has been analyzed in the light of the information initially sought by the appellant and the one furnished by PIO. The appellant has in his original application sought the name and address of the contractors of students canteens which has already been furnished. He has not sought the information related to the license deeds. No original information can be sought in the appeal and as such the appellant is advised to file a new application with PIO.

The information sought vide query no. 2 & 3 is the same i.e. the water consumption charges per month charged from the contractors. The PIO has replied stating that these are being charged as per meter readings. This information is incomplete since the information sought is water consumption charges per month and as such it has to be furnished for each month. PIO is advised to furnish this information within 20 days.

The appellant further states that PIO has failed to provide detailed information against query no. 4. The information sought vide this query is terms and conditions agreed upon/entered into by the institute with the contractors. It is seen that terms and conditions providing the details of area (open and covered), license fee per sq.ft., opening hours and other relevant details duly signed by the concerned Assistant Registrar has already been furnished by the PIO. As such, the information furnished earlier is complete.

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Sh. Sachin Gupta, Partner
Eswara's Kamdhenu Restaurant,
Shop No.1, Plot No. 117/2
Gautam Nagar
New Delhi - 110049

Copy to :

PIO

OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY

(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)

IIT : DELHI

No. IITD/R/AA/14/

May 13, 2014

**In the matter related to appeal dated 7-5-2014 of Ms. Chitra Mathur
under RTI Act 2005**

Whereas Ms. Chitra Mathur has sought some information vide application dated nil. The said information has been furnished by PIO vide his letter dated 21-4-2014. Not satisfied with the information furnished by the PIO, the applicant has now preferred this appeal dated 7-5-2014.

I have perused the appeal. Vide this appeal the appellant has sought information about the conditions in which some students became special cases. The PIO while furnishing the information has already annexed Annexure A, a copy of the note sheet where such decision was taken. This note mentions the reasons for having allowed some students to continue for one more semester, as a one time exception. As such the information being sought vide appeal has already been made available and nothing is left to be provided.

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Ms. Chitra Mathur
chitramathur@gmail.com

Copy to :

PIO

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/14/
May 16, 2014

**In the matter related to appeal dated 9-5-2014 of Sh. Shibendra Kumar
under RTI Act 2005**

Whereas Sh. Shibendra Kumar has sought some information vide application dated 21-3-2014. The said information has been furnished by PIO vide his letter dated 9-4-2014. Not satisfied with the information furnished by the PIO, the applicant has now preferred this appeal dated nil received in this office on 9-5-2014.

I have perused the appeal. The appellant has stated that PIO can not refer to the website for providing some information sought under RTI 2005 and as such the reply furnished by PIO is ridiculous and unfortunate. Section 4 (2) of Right to Information Act mandates every public authority to take steps to provide as much information *suo moto* to the public through various means of communication including internet so that public is spared from avoidable uses of RTI Act to seek information. As such a public authority is not only well within its rights but also duty bound under RTI Act to provide any such information on its website as it may consider relevant for the regular and frequent usage of the public. As such the contention of the appellant that the PIO cannot refer to the website to furnish reply under RTI 2005 is not valid.

The appellant vide his original application has sought information on the marks scored by the closing rankers belonging to General and non-creamy layer OBC category students in GATE 2012 and 2013 in the discipline of Structural Eng. PIO has while furnishing this information made available the copies of the minutes of Departmental Research Committee of Civil Eng. Dept. which contains not only the sought for information (about Structural Eng.) but also about other disciplines. This information is also complete not only regarding the categories (General and creamy layer OBC) sought by the appellant but also for all remaining categories (SC, ST,PH). As such it is observed that the information sought for has already been furnished.

The appellant has however, suggested that it should be furnished in the performance on which it has been made available by IIT Kharagpur. Right to Information Act mandates providing of information as is available in the record and not to collate/consolidate the sought for

information in any specific manner prescribed by the applicant. As such no additional information need be provided since it has already been made available.

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Sh. Shibendra Kumar
East Patel Nagar
Road No.2, H.No.34,
P.O. Shastri Nagar
Patna – 800 023

Copy to :

PIO

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/14/
June 12, 2014

**In the matter related to appeal dated 3-6-2014 of Sh. Satish Kumar
under RTI Act 2005**

Whereas the appellant Sh. Satish Kumar has earlier sought information related to allotment of D type houses vide his application dated 9-5-2014. The said information has been furnished to him by PIO vide letter No. IITD/RTI/2014/40/424 dated 2nd June, 2014. It is seen from the file that the applicant has submitted another application dated 27-5-2014 seeking some clarification/explanation regarding the allotment of House No. D-2/B-1. The desired clarifications were provided by PIO vide his letter dated 2-6-2014.

Stating that he is not satisfied with the reply furnished by PIO, appellant has now preferred this appeal dated 3-6-2014 seeking some explanations regarding non-display of updated allotment list on the notice board by Estate Office. He has also sought an explanation as to why Estate Office did not invite him since he was one of the concerned persons. Vide this appeal he also seeks an explanation/justification as to why the list on the notice board displayed by the Estate Office did not mention that in case of any discrepancy, the allottee/employee may contact Estate Office.

I have perused the original application dated 9-5-2014 and 27-5-2014 of the appellant and it is observed that the desired information has already been furnished. The appeal also does not point out any discrepancy/shortcoming in furnishing of the information by PIO. The appellant is now seeking explanations and justifications about the working of the estate office which is not the mandate of Right to Information Act, 2005. He is advised to contact the office of the Estate Office to seek such explanations/justifications.

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Sh. Satish Kumar
D-1/D-1, IIT Campus,
Hauz Khas,
New Delhi – 110016

Copy to :

PIO

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/14/
June 12, 2014

**In the matter related to appeal dated 2-6-2014 of Sh. S.K. Bansal
under RTI Act 2005**

Whereas Sh. S.K. Bansal has sought some information vide application dated 7-5-2014. The said information has been furnished by PIO vide his letter dated 22-5-2014. Not satisfied with the information furnished by the PIO, the applicant has now preferred this appeal dated nil received in this office on 2-6-2014.

I have perused the original application submitted by the appellant and the information furnished by PIO and it emerges as under :

- a) The PIO has not provided specific information regarding the category (direct recruitment, promotion or any other) under which the recruitment for A.R. (Admn.) and A.R. (Accounts) was made.
- b) The PIO has wrongly declined the information regarding the answer keys of the question papers quoting provision contained in Section 8 (i) (d) of RTI Act. The applicant has now sought such information related specifically to objective type questions.

PIO is hereby advised to furnish the deficient information within a period of 15 days.

The appellant had also sought information about the weightage given to the presentation. PIO has furnished the details of marks obtained by various candidates which makes it clear that there is no weightage for presentation. As such there is nothing more to be furnished regarding it.

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

PIO

Copy to :

Sh. S.K. Bansal,
Senior Data Processor
IRD Unit,
IIT Delhi

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/14/
June 12, 2014

**In the matter related to appeal dated 15-5-2014 of Sh. Manjeet Singh
under RTI Act 2005**

Whereas Sh. Manjeet Singh has sought some information vide application dated 2-4-2014 . The said information has been furnished by PIO vide his letter dated 22-4-2014. Not satisfied with the information furnished by the PIO, the applicant has now preferred this appeal dated 15-5-2014.

I have perused the original application submitted by the appellant and the information furnished by PIO. The appellant has stated that the reply furnished by PIO regarding the criteria for allotment of C & D category houses to SC/ST employees is wrong. However, the information furnished earlier by PIO is in order. The appellant has specifically asked as to whether the allotment is on the basis of roaster or on the basis of 20, 40, 60. The PIO has clearly stated that such allotment is on the basis of 20:40:60 as laid down under House Allotment rules. As such I do not find any discrepancy in the information furnished by PIO.

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Sh. Manjeet Singh
Dept. of Textile Tech.
IIT Delhi

Copy to :

PIO

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT DELHI**

No.IITD/R/AA/14/
June 25, 2014

**In the matter related to appeal dated 17-06-2014 of Dr. R.K. Bhatt
under RTI Act 2005**

Whereas Dr. R.K. Bhatt has sought some information under RTI act vide application dated 20-04-2014. The said information has been furnished by PIO vide his letter dated 9th May, 2014. Not satisfied with the information furnished by the PIO, Dr. R.K. Bhatt has now preferred this appeal dated 17-06-2014.

I have perused the original application submitted by the appellant and the information furnished by PIO. The appellant has stated that the reply furnished by PIO is not specific and pinpointed information i.e. whether Dr. Murari Lal, Scientific Officer, Centre for Atmospheric Sciences was dismissed from his job on account of his involvement in a case of sexual harassment OR on account of not taking ex-India leave for his visit to a foreign county.

The appellant however in his original application dated 20-04-2014, does not seek this information. It simply asks for the certified copy of rules, certified copy of complaint and certified copy of action taken, which have already been furnished by the PIO.

As such fresh information cannot be sought by way of an appeal. The appellant is advised to prefer a fresh application to PIO for seeking this information which was not earlier sought vide original application.

(M.K. Gulati)
Actg.Registrar

Dr. R.K. Bhatt
A-25, Overseas Apartment
Sector 9, Rohini
Delhi-110085

Copy to:
PIO

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT DELHI**

No.IITD/R/AA/14/
June 23, 2014

**In the matter related to appeal dated 12-06-2014 of Ms. Sushma
Gupta under RTI Act 2005**

Ms. Sushma Gupta vide her application dated 27th March, 2014, sought information including the present age of retirement of Deputy Librarian, Assistant Librarian and Librarian of IIT Delhi after the letter dated 20th July, 2010, of additional secretary MHRD.

The sought information has been furnished by the PIO vide letter 2nd May, 2014. Observing that the information furnished is not clear, the applicant sought the present age of retirement of Dr. J.P. Srivastava and Dr. Nabi Hasan, Deputy Librarians. The PIO while replying to this letter on 9th June, 2014, informed the Date of Birth of Dr. J.B. Srivastava, Dr. Nabi Hasan and Dr. Neeraj Kumar Chourasia, Deputy Librarians. However, this letter was not showing the present age of retirement of Deputy Librarians.

Aggrieved, the applicant observed that the information provided is incomplete and vague.

I have perused the related documents, it is stated that the appellant has not been provided the specific information. It is clear that she needs the information related to the age of retirement of certain staff of IIT Delhi which has not been furnished. Therefore, PIO is advised to furnish the specific information sought by the applicant within 15 days i.e. 04-07-2014.

(M.K. Gulati)
Actg.Registrar
Appellate Authority

PIO

Copy to:

Ms. Sushma Gupta
214/4, Saraswati Kunj
IIT Roorkee
Uttarakhand. – 247 667

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT DELHI**

No.IITD/R/AA/14/
July 7, 2014

**In the matter related to appeal dated 11-06-2014 of Sh. Tarun Prasad
under RTI Act 2005**

Sh. Tarun Prasad has sought some information under RTI act vide application dated 28-05-2014. The said information has been furnished by PIO vide his letter dated 9th June, 2014. Sh. Tarun Prasad has now vide email dated 11-06-2014 informed that since he has already received the reply, the application filed by him on line portal of MHRD may be ignored. As such nothing needs to be done. Email of Sh. Tarun Prasad may be filed.

.

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

PIO

Copy to:

Sh. Tarun Prasad
s/o Sh. Vakil Prasad
B-57, Sita Puri, Part 1 P.O. Palam
Street No. 06, Behind Dabri Police Station
New Delhi – 10 045

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/14/
July 7 , 2014

**In the matter related to appeal dated 13-6-2014 of Sh. Yeshraj Singh
under RTI Act 2005**

Whereas Sh. Yeshraj Singh has sought some information vide application dated 28-4-2014 . The said information has been furnished by PIO vide his letter dated 27-5-2014. Not satisfied with the information furnished by the PIO, the applicant has now preferred this appeal dated 13-6-2014 stating that the information furnished is incomplete.

The appellant states that a certified copy of the terms and conditions of lien has not been provided. It is seen that the document A-I annexed to the reply furnished by PIO includes the conditions related to lien at 3.6.1. The appellant has also sought some detailed information on the performa designed by him regarding the employees who applied through proper channel and were later relieved to join new assignment. This information has been sought for last about nine years. The performa designed by the applicant includes such details that may not be readily available and will have to be curled out from individual service records.

As such the information has been rightly denied quoting the provisions of Section 7(9) of RTI Act. However, PIO has given him the opportunity to visit the offices of concerned Dy. Registrars (Estt. I and Estt. II) for inspection of the record which the appellant is free to avail.

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Sh. Yeshraj Singh Pal
MGAHV Regional Centre
24/28, S.N. Marg, Civil Lines
Allahabad – 211 001

Copy to :

PIO

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/14/
August 13 , 2014

**In the matter related to appeal dated 25-7-2014 of Dr. Subiman Kundu
under RTI Act 2005**

Whereas Dr. Subiman Kundu has vide his application dated 3-6-2014 sought information related to seniority list of Professors and Associate Professors in the Dept. of Mathematics Dept of IIT Delhi. The PIO has vide letter No. IITD/RTI2014/49/478 dated 2nd July, 2014 informed the applicant that the seniority list of Professors/Associate Professors is not maintained in IIT Delhi.

Aggrieved the applicant has now preferred this appeal stating that the administrative manual refers only to the seniority list of Professors and it therefore appears that seniority list of Associate and Assistant Professors is being maintained. I have inquired personally from Dy. Registrar of concerned section (Estt. I) and it has been confirmed that seniority list of Associate/Assistant Professors is also not maintained in IIT Delhi and as such this information being sought by the applicant cannot be furnished being not available.

The applicant his vide his original application also sought following information:

'Date of appointment at the level of Associate Professor, of the Professors in the Dept. of Mathematics who are appointed as Professor w.e.f. December 2012 or January 2013 against the advertisement No. 01/2012 (E-I).'

The PIO has however, failed to furnish this information. It is directed that the deficient information referred to above may be furnished to the applicant within 15 days.

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

PIO

Copy to :

Dr. Subiman Kundu,
Dept. of Mathematics,
IIT Delhi
Hauz Khas, New Delhi - 110016

