

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/15/
January 8, 2015

**In the matter related to appeal dated 30-12-2014 of Sh. Sandeep Kumar
under RTI Act 2005**

Whereas Sh. Sandeep Kumar has preferred an application dated 26-11-2014 seeking information under RTI 2005 seeking details of his subject specialization since it has not been mentioned in his degree. Replying thereto, the PIO vide his letter No. IITD/RTI/2014/218 dated 29-12-2014 informed that the degrees to MBA students in IIT Delhi are categorized only under three specializations and one of these i.e. Technology Management is already mentioned on his degree. Sh. Sandeep Kumar has now preferred this appeal dated 30-12-2014 saying that he is not satisfied with the reply furnished by PIO since the employers always ask for subjects specialization.

RTI is not a provision to seek redressal of grievances. It only provides for seeking of available information. The appellant cannot invoke the provisions of this act to seek mentioning of details regarding major and minor specialization subjects on his degree. IIT Delhi grants MBA degrees only under the specialization out of which is already mentioned in his degree.

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Sh. Sandeep Kumar
Pocket – 1, 54-C
Dilshad Garden
Delhi – 110 095

Copy to :

PIO

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/15/
February 26, 2015

**In the matter related to appeal dated 31-12-2014 of Sh. Kamal K Agarwal
under RTI Act 2005**

Whereas Sh. Kamal K Agarwal has preferred an application dated 17-10-2014 seeking information under RTI 2005 on 14 points which stands furnished by PIO vide letter dated 31-12-2014. Sh. Kamal K Agarwal has now preferred this appeal dated 30-12-2014 on the grounds that information has not been furnished against point nos. 1, 10, 11, 12 & 13.

I have perused the original application, the reply furnished by the PIO as also discussed the matter with PIO and the concerned office (custodian of the record).

The information sought at point No. 1 pertains to bifurcation of the posts of JTS amongst Electrical, Mechanical & Chemical Eng. and Miscellaneous category. The PIO has informed that 20 posts have been advertised for various depts. and no such split is available. As such this information has not been furnished since the advertised posts have not been split in the categories as sought by the applicant. I am also of the considered view that the information sought for cannot be furnished being not available. The applicant has stated that against point No. 10, two months was a sufficient time to furnish the information sought for. It is seen that this information has been provided later by PIO vide his letter dated 15-1-2015. As such the information stands furnished.

Against point No. 11, the appellant seeks a certified copy of the minutes of the meeting held to decide the pattern of question paper. As inquired from the concerned office no such meeting was held and the information has rightly been declined by PIO being not available.

Against point No. 12, the appellant seeks certified copies of recruitment rules for the post of JTS. It is seen that the recruitment rules for the post of Jr. Tech. Supdt. have already been detailed in the advertisement made available at page No. 10 of the information furnished on 31-12-2014. Likewise the copy of reservation roster sought vide point No. 13 has been made available at page 45 of the information furnished by PIO on 31-12-2014.

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Sh. Kamal K Agarwal
R.B.I. 257 G, Railway Colony,
Agra Cantt., Agra, U.P.

Copy to :

PIO

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/15/
March 9, 2015

**In the matter related to appeal dated 26-2-2015 of Sh. Shine Sasidharan
under RTI Act 2005**

Whereas Sh. Shine Sasidharan has preferred an application dated 29-11-2014 seeking information under RTI 2005 which stands furnished by PIO vide letter dated 16-12-2014 & 29-12-2014. Aggrieved Sh. Shine Sasidharan has now preferred this appeal dated 26-2-2015 seeking a copy of document supporting the reply furnished by PIO that IIT Delhi is accepting the degrees awarded by IASE Deemed University.

I have perused the reply furnished by PIO and it is seen that it has not been stated that IIT Delhi is accepting degrees of IASE. What has been stated is that all regular students of 4 Year Degree Programme are eligible without specifying name of any university. As such the information sought vide this appeal cannot be furnished.

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Sh. Shine Sasidaran
K.S. Land, Palayam Kunnu
(P) Varkala, Trivandrum,
Kerala - 6

Copy to :

PIO

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/15/
March 9, 2015

**In the matter related to appeal dated 3-3-2015 of Sh. L. SrinivasaRajan
under RTI Act 2005**

Whereas Sh. L. SrinivasaRajan has preferred an application dated 9-2-2015 seeking information related to consolidated list of faculty and staff members with name, designation, date of first appointment appointment in IIT Delhi, and date of retirement, as present to Board of Governors in its 145th meeting held on 06-07-2004 and those who were brought over to GPF-cum-Pension-cum-Gratuity Scheme with reference to subsequent notification No. IITD/CDN/BG/061/2004/331 dated 02-08-2004 and consolidated information in respect of faculty and staff members with name, designation, date of first appointment in appointment in IIT Delhi, and date of retirement, as present to Board of Governors and those who were brought over to GPF-cum-Pension-cum-Gratuity Scheme subsequent to passing the resolution No. BG/24/2013 dated 08-11-2013.

PIO has vide his letter dated 18-2-2015 denied this information quoting the provisions of Section 7 (9) of RTI Act holding it to be voluminous in nature and time consuming. Aggrieved the appellant has now filed this appeal stating that it is mandatory to prepare and place the list of employees with all the relevant details before BOG for taking a decision and as such a list of employees must have been prepared and reported to BOG on action taken subsequent to its decision,.

I have perused the agenda for meeting of BOG held on 6-7-2004 and 8-11-2013 where this item was taken up for discussion. It is seen that the agenda item seeks a decision in principle and not for individuals by name. Hence no list of the employees likely to switch over to GPF-cum-Pension-cum-Gratuity Scheme has been appended to these agenda items. As such, the information sought cannot be provided being not available.

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Sh. L. SrinivasaRajan
Plot No. 55, F-1, Sri Srinivasa
Nilayam, Chandrasekaran Nagar,
2nd Street, Okiyam, Thoraipakka,
Chennai – 600 097

Copy to :

PIO

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/15/
April 7, 2015

**In the matter related to appeal dated 25-3-2015 of Sh. Neeraj Kumar Chaudhary
under RTI Act 2005**

Whereas Sh. Neeraj Kumar Chaudhary has preferred an application dated 13-1-2015 seeking information related to Mess Supervisors/Asst. Mess Supervisors in IIT Delhi Hostels. The information sought for includes names of the Mess Assistants/Mess Supervisors in all the hostels of IIT Delhi and the reasons as to why revised pay structure allowed by IIT Delhi to Caretakers has not been extended to the Catering Assistants, Cooks and Mess Helpers etc.

The desired information has been furnished by PIO vide his letter dated 27-1-2015 furnishing the information related to names of the Mess Supervisors. However, the information related to the reasons for not providing the pay structure extended to Caretakers has been declined stating that RTI does not provide for responding to the queries in the nature of questions.

Aggrieved, the applicant has now preferred this appeal dated 24-3-2015 stating that IIT is working unethically denying good perks, vacations etc. to the people providing catering services to the institute as also subjecting them to harassment. The appeal further says that PIO has not explained the reasons for denying the benefits of 6th Central Pay Commission to the staff of lower category. Vide this appeal he has requested a strict action against the officials who have created hindrance in this regard.

I endorse the logic furnished by PIO. Right to Information Act does not provide for furnishing explanations, replying to queries and providing rationale behind the decision making process etc. It also is not a tool to seek invocation of disciplinary proceedings against the erring employee.

With the above in view, the information and relief sought vide appeal dated 24-3-2015 cannot be provided.

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Sh. Neeraj Kumar Chaudhary
Chamber No. 464
Lawyers Block Court Complex
New Delhi

Copy to :

PIO

OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT : DELHI

No. IITD/R/AA/15/
April 22, 2015

**In the matter related to appeal dated 25-3-2015 of Sh. Dr. Gurvinder Ahluwalia
under RTI Act 2005**

Whereas Dr. Gurvinder Ahluwalia has preferred an application dated 26-2-2015 seeking information under RTI 2005 related to qualification and experience of candidates called for interview for the post of Counsellor, IIT Delhi. The desired information was furnished by PIO vide letter No. IITD/RTR/2015/311/294 dated 16th March, 2015.

Dr. Gurvinder Ahluwalia has now preferred this appeal dated 25-3-2015 seeking the reasons for non shortlisting of her candidature. RTI 2005 is not a provision to seek explanations, justifications, rationale etc. behind a decision making process. Further, this information was not even asked for in the original application.

With the above in view the appeal preferred by Dr. Gurvinder Ahluwalia is rejected.

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Dr. Gurvinder Ahluwalia
c/o Mr. Gulshan Bakshi
A-75B, Gulab Bagh
Near Nawada Metro Station
Uttam Nagar
New Delhi – 110 059

Copy to :

PIO

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/15/
April 23, 2015

**In the matter related to appeal dated 5-4-2015 of Sh. Ashish Kumar
under RTI Act 2005**

Whereas Sh. AshishKumar has preferred an application dated 19-3-2015 seeking information under RTI 2005 related to question papers and answer keys of competition exams held for admission to Ph.D. programs in Dept. of Mathematics, IIT Delhi for the last five years.. PIO has furnished this information vide letter No. IITD/RTI/2015/329/264 dated 30th March, 2015 informing that no such exam has been conducted by Dept. of Mathematics, IIT Delhi during last five years.

Sh. AshishKumar has now preferred this appeal dated 5-4-2015 and sought again the same information. Since it has already been informed that sought for information is not available and that no such exams have been conducted by Dept. of Mathematics, IIT Delhi during the last five years, the information sought vide this appeal cannot be furnished and hence the appeal is rejected.

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Sh. Ashish Kumar
s/o Tarsem Chand
Kuku Da Depot Cloth Merchant
Main Bazaar, Lehra Gaga
District – Sangrur
Punjab – 148 031

Copy to :

PIO

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/15/
June 2, 2015

**In the matter related to appeal dated 21-5-2015 of Dr. SubimanKundu
under RTI Act 2005**

Whereas Dr. SubimanKundu has preferred an application dated 24-3-2015 under RTI 2005 seeking certain information (resolution passed in regard to the appointment of Professors in the Dept. of Mathematics at IIT Delhi - relevant portions of the minutes of 182nd meeting of the Board of Governors). The item regarding selection for the post of Professors in the Dept. of Mathematics was taken up vide Item No. C1 in 182nd meeting of BOG and a copy of the minutes in respect of this item was provided by the PIO to the applicant vide No. 318 dated 17-4-2015.

The applicant has now preferred this appeal on 21st May, 2015 observing that as per his knowledge the relevant portion of the aforesaid minutes contains at least two pages starting with the list of candidates shortlisted for the interview. He has now sought a complete portion of the aforesaid minutes on the entire discussion and deliberations including the subsequent decision and resolution in relation to this item. He is also seeking for the exigencies, reasons and contexts for taking up this item for discussion in the Board meeting.

The available information is only in the form of minutes which has already been furnished by the PIO. Further the information being sought like exigencies, reasons and contexts for having taken up this item in BOG is neither available nor falls under the definition of information. As such the information sought after vide this appeal cannot be furnished being not available and it is observed that the information sought for already stands furnished by the PIO.

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Dr. SubimanKundu
Department of Mathematics,
Indian Institute of Technology
HauzKhas
New Delhi - 110016

Copy to :

PIO

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/15/
June 2, 2015

**In the matter related to appeal dated 28-4-2015 of Ms. PriyaMosur Ravi
under RTI Act 2005**

Whereas Ms. PriyaMosurRavi has preferred an application dated 12-4-2015 under RTI 2005 seeking information like **whether** in the year 2014 all the seats reserved for OBC, SC & ST for B. Tech. courses were filled, number of applications received under OBC, SC & ST category for B.Tech. courses, number of students admitted under OBC, SC & ST category for B.Tech. courses. Besides the applicant has sought details of these students like the name of the student, the category under which admitted, the castes to which he belongs to as ascertained from the caste certificate and the state in which the caste has been included in the list of OBC, SC & ST etc.

Replying thereto, the PIO has furnished information regarding available seats and the number of students admitted under various categories like General, OBC, SC & ST in B.Tech. courses during the year 2014-15. However, the information regarding the name of the student, the caste to which they belong to and the state in which the said caste is included in the reserved category etc. has been declined holding that it is voluminous in nature [Section 7 (9) of RTI Act] and that it falls under personal information category [section 8(i)(e) and 8(i) (j) of RTI Act, 2015].

Section 7 (9) does not provide ground for declining the information. It simply enables the PIO to furnish the information in the form other than in which it has been sought. Likewise section 8(i)(e) and 8(i)(j) do not relate to third party information and in my view could not have been invoked to decline the information

It is seen that information regarding the State in which the caste of the candidates has been categorized in the respective reserved category is not available with IIT Delhi. Further information regarding the name and the caste as ascertained from the caste certificate qualifies to be personal information, the disclosure of which has no relationship to any public activity or interest and it would cause unwarranted invasion of the privacy of the individual. No larger public interest will be served in furnishing this information. With the above in view the information is declined keeping in view the provisions of section 8(i)(j) of RTI Act, 2015.

It is further observed that the organizing institute in respect of JEE Advanced, 2014 was IIT Kharagpur and PIO has already transferred this application to PIO, IIT Kharagpur under intimation to the appellant. Further correspondence, if any, may be made by the appellant with PIO, IIT Kharagpur.

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Ms. PriyaMosur Ravi
A-102, Raja Prakruti Apartments
Off 10th E Main, 4th cross
Jayanagar First Block
Bangalore – 560 011

Copy to :

1. Dr. Anathbandhu Patra
Assistant Registrar & Public Information Officer
Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur
Kharagpur – 721 302
2. PIO, IIT Delhi

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/15/
September 16, 2015

**In the matter related to appeal dated 29-8-2015 of Sh. Krishan Kumar
under RTI Act 2005**

Whereas Sh. Krishan Kumar has preferred an application dated 23-7-2015 under RTI 2005 seeking information regarding University Resolution for period of retention of answer sheets of External and Internal Examinations from 2010 to 2015 and minutes related thereto and the process of destruction of answer sheets after the retention period and the role of University in that process. The sought for information was provided by PIO vide letter dated 21st August, 2015.

The applicant preferred an appeal on 29-8-2015 stating that he has not received the response within stipulated time. I have perused the file and it is seen that PIO has replied to this appeal vide his letter dated 31-8-2015 informing him that the reply has already been sent. In fact, the PIO has also made available a detailed track event for this correspondence which shows that the reply has been delivered to the applicant on 27-8-2015.

With the above in view no information remains to be furnished and the applicant is informed accordingly.

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Sh. Krishan Kumar
House No. 78,
Ward No. 4,
Mehrauli
New Delhi – 110 030

Copy to :

PIO

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/15/
September 21, 2015

**In the matter related to appeal dated 4-9-2015 of Sh. Goutam
under RTI Act 2005**

Whereas Sh. Goutam has preferred an application dated 17-7-2015 under RTI 2005 seeking information related to complete syllabus of certain courses along with the prescribed text books and references. The desired information has already been made available by IIT Delhi on its website (www.iitd.ac.in) in view of the provisions contained in Section 4 (i)(b) of RTI Act. 2015. Accordingly the applicant was informed to refer to the website.

Aggrieved the applicant has filed this appeal dated 4-9-2015 seeking information in the hard copy.

Since the Institute has already made the desired information available on its website, it may not be required to furnish a hard copy of this information. The appellant is advised to refer the website to seek desired information.

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Sh. Goutam,
"Shriketana"
5th Cross, Ganesa Colony Extension
Jannapura
Bhadravathi – 577 301
Karnataka

Copy to :

PIO

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/15/
September 24, 2015

**In the matter related to appeal dated 18-9-2015 of Sh. Manjit Singh
under RTI Act 2005**

Whereas Sh. Manjit Singh has preferred an application dated 13-8-2015 under RTI 2005 seeking information related to advance increments given to him. The said information was provided by PIO vide letter No. IITD/RTI/2015/110/679 dated 2nd September, 2015.

Aggrieved the appellant has preferred this appeal dated 18-9-2015 stating that he is not satisfied with the answer to his query no. 2 and has sought a copy of the rules under which additional increments given to him by the Selection Committee can be withdrawn.

I have perused the available papers and it is seen that the relevant paper with regard to the decision of the competent authority to withdraw such increments has already been made available to him by the PIO (Annexure B to the reply of PIO).

RTI is a provision to seek information available with the authority and not to generate any information which is not available. The available information has already been provided by PIO. As such the sought for information cannot be furnished being not available and the appellant may be informed accordingly.

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Sh. Manjeet Singh
Room No. TX-106
Department of Textile Technology
Indian Institute of Technology
Delhi – 110 016

Copy to :

PIO

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/15/
September 24, 2015

**In the matter related to appeal dated 9-9-2015 of Sh. Satish Kumar
under RTI Act 2005**

Whereas Sh. Satish Kumar has preferred an application dated 10-8-2015 under RTI Act 2005 seeking some information which stands furnished by the PIO vide letter No. IITD/RTI/2015/106/680 dated 2nd Sept., 2015. The sought for information includes the record of students, stream wise and category wise (SC, ST, OBC & General), who either dropped out of the course or whose degrees were extended. Replying thereto, PIO has informed that there are more than 50 streams of programmes having 2/3/7 years duration and it will not be possible to prepare information sought for from this record. He has declined the information quoting the provisions contained in Section 7(9).

I have perused the related section and it is seen that it does not provide for exemption from disclosure of information. It simply enables PIO to furnish the sought for information in the form other than desired by the applicant. As such provisions of the Section 7(9) are not applicable in this case and the information could not have been denied under this act.

It is, however, seen that the sought for information involves culling out of the information from a voluminous record pertaining to thousands of students. RTI provides for seeking of information in the form it is available and its provisions cannot be invoked to compel the authorities to generate some information after culling out from the available record. As such the appeal is rejected.

However, the applicant is at liberty to come and inspect the records personally. In case, he so desires, he will inform the PIO well in advance who may arrange inspection after seeking mutual convenience of the appellant and custodian of the record.

(Dr. Rakesh Kumar)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Sh. Satish Kumar
SC-208, Shastri Nagar
Ghaziabad Uttar Pradesh – 201002

Copy to :

PIO

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/15/
October 20, 2015

**Sub:- Reply to your first appeal dated 22nd September, 2015 based
on your RTI application dated 03rd September, 2015.**

Dear Mr. Kumar,

This has reference to your RTI application dated 03rd September, 2015 and subsequent reply given by Public Information Officer on 18th September, 2015.

Not satisfied with the reply given by PIO, you had opted for first appeal which was received in our Office on 23rd September 2015 regarding question No. 3(a) of your application where in you had asked for the detailed report on researches done in the Department of Computer Science & Engineering, IIT Delhi since the date of establishment and also to provide separate report for each research done and going on since the date of establishment.

The information sought is available in the Annual Reports of the Institute on the RTI website of the Institute <http://rti.iitd.ac.in> under the heading Information Centre with sub-link Annual Reports. The reports are available from 2006-2007 to 2013-2014. Further, this is for your information, the Department of Computer Science & Engineering started in the year 1982. A report prepared by the Head of the Department, Computer Science & Engineering giving details of research carried out by faculty members are enclosed at Annexure – A. (Page Nos. 1 - 22).

Hope, the information furnished are complete as per your satisfaction.

Thanking you,

Yours sincerely,

(Dr. Sandeep Chatterjee)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Mr. Amit Kumar
10/367, Top Floor
Lalita Park, Near Masjid
Laxmi Nagar
Delhi – 110 092

Copy to :

PIO

OFFICE OF THE REGISTRAR

No. IITD/R/15

Date: 19.11.2015

An application was received from Sh. Sandeep Kumar under RTI to seek basic information under public domain that the degree's specialization/disciplines are not mentioned on the MBA degrees. The degree awarded to MBA students are categorized as under :

1. Technology Management
2. Management & System
3. Telecommunication System Management

The alumni desired that these specializations be mentioned on the degree certificate as MBA – Technology Management (Marketing), specifying the stream opted, which helps job perspective etc. At present Dept. of Management Studies offers following streams such as :

1. Finance
2. Marketing
3. Human Resources, and
4. Strategy.

In the interest of student and related policy thereto regarding nomenclature of degree, the matter may be considered for an appropriate decision. Copy of original application dated 26-11-2014, reply from PIO dated 29-12-2014, reply to 1st appeal dated 8-1-2015 and CIC decision dated 2-11-2015 are hereby enclosed for urgent action/decision please.

(Dr. Sandeep Chatterjee)
Registrar &
1st Appellate Authority

Dean, Academics

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/15/
November 5, 2015

Mr. Baljeet Singh Ramagarhia
Baljeet Market
B-XXIX-1011/1-B/2
Guru Nanak Colony, Surjit Cinema Chowk
DhandariKalan, Ludhiana – 141 041
(Punjab)

Dear Sir,

This has reference to your letter dated 24th September 2015 addressed to Registrar, Appellate Authority against the reply given by him on 14.09.2015.

Your RTI application dated 17th June, 2015 received on 19th June, 2015 was replied by Public Information Officer on 30th June, 2015. Based on the reply given by PIO you had deposited Rs.1000/- for degree verification of Mr. AmitPrakash and the same was verified and reply was given on 14th July, 2015.

Not satisfied with the reply given on 14th July, 2015 you had opted for first appeal dated 27.07.2015 which was duly replied by the first appellate authority on 14th September 2015 stating that Registration No. of ShriAmitPrakash is 1987MEI002, the degree is genuine and belong to our Institute, and the duration of the Degree is 2 years.

In addition to above, we would like to furnish few further information.

1. ShriAmitPrakash's (Entry No. 1987MEI002) name is also reflected in the Annual Convocation booklet of 1990. A copy of the same is enclosed at **Annexure - A**(2 pages).
2. Further attempts have been made to collect his personal information from Alumni Office and the comments of the Office Manager of IIT Delhi Alumni Association are enclosed at **Annexure – B**. (1 page).
3. Institute norms to destroy old records are furnished at **Annexure – C**. (1 page).

Hope, the information furnished is complete as per your satisfaction and it disposes your RTI application.

Thanking you,

Yours sincerely,

Encl : **as above**

(Dr. SandeepChatterjee)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Copy to :

PIO

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/15/
November 19 , 2015

Dear Mr. Athikesavan,

This has reference to the RTI application dated 10th August 2015 and the subsequent reply provided by Public Information Officer on 11th September 2015.

Not satisfied with the reply provided by the Public Information Officer, you had opted for 1st appeal on 06th October, 2015.

As per the reference letter given by you (Ref.No. O.M. No.7/2/55/SCT-MHA dated 14.10.1955), regarding recruitment of Class III & IV services. The information related to Academic staff and Non Academic Staff at IIT Delhi are reproduced below:-

In the case of Academic staff(s) reservations are applicable only in respect of entry level post i.e. Assistant Professor (Ref MHRD letter No. 16-8/2000-T.S.1 dated 09.06.2008). Since there is no fixed number of positions in the level of Assistant Professors in the Institute, the number of vacancies available at this level cannot be ascertained. In order to secure adequate representation of SC/ST/OBC communities at IIT Delhi, special drive for recruitment of faculty for SC/ST/OBC categories has been carried out during 2013-2014 in addition to the rolling advertisement already available in the website. Faculty recruitment at IIT Delhi is going on round the year against rolling Advertisement which is already on the Institute website and the Institute still issues regular advertisement from time to time in leading National Newspaper's for faculty recruitment.

In the case of Non Academic Staff(s) reservation and other relaxation are provided as per Government of India Rules. The Liaison Officer is always involved in the Roster Committee Meeting for release of any post. No deficiencies have been recorded in the operation of the roasters. Roster is being maintained as per the Institute norms. The numbers of post reserved for OBC, SC, ST and PH are clearly indicated in the advertisement for direct recruitment.

In the case of students, it is once again reiterated that we stand by the reply given by PIO dated 11th September 2015. (Copy attached).

Hope, the information furnished is complete as per your satisfaction.

Yours sincerely,

(Dr. SandeepChatterjee)
Registrar & First Appellate Authority

Mr. M. Athikesavan
547, East Avenue Road
MKB Nagar, Vyasarpadi
Chennai – 600 039

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/15/
November 19 , 2015

Dear Mr. Jhunjhunwala,

This has reference to your RTI application dated 16th September, 2015 received in RTI Cell on 23rd September 2015 and the subsequent reply provided by Public Information Officer on 28th September, 2015.

Not satisfied with the reply given by the PIO you had opted for first appeal on 03rd October, 2015. In your appeal you have invoked several sections of RTI Act particularly Point G.

It may kindly be noted that personal data of the students cannot be provided as the information asked is fiduciary in nature and belongs to third party and hence is denied under section 8(1) (d) & (e) of the Act. However, the details of the existing OBC students on roll, giving their Entry No. Name of the Student, Category, State of domicile are enclosed at Annexure – A. (Page Nos. 1 – 21).

Hope the information provided suffice your requirement.

Yours sincerely,

(Dr. SandeepChatterjee)
Registrar & First Appellate Authority

Mr. Atul Kumar Jhunjhunwala
1134, Mahaveer Apartment
Sector 29, Noida
Uttar Pradesh – 201 301

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/15/
December 11, 2015

**In the matter related to appeal dated 30-11-2015 of Sh. Anil Khanna
under RTI Act 2005**

Whereas Sh. Anil Khanna has preferred an application dated 3-10-2015 under RTI 2005 seeking information on last renovation of swimming pool work executed in IIT Campus in the year 2013-2014. The application was forwarded to Institute Engineer by PIO on 7-10-2015. The reply was received from the Office of the IE on 14-10-2015 that the work undertaken during the period was done by CPWD. The application was forwarded to CPWD on 27-10-2015. A letter dated 2-11-2015 has been received from PIO, CPWD that the case has been transferred to PIO, CPWD, Near Vikram Shila Apartments, Hauz Khas.

Not satisfied with the reply provided the applicant has opted for appeal dated 30-11-2015 stated that he has not received any reply from PIO, IIT Delhi and PIO, CPWD and hence the information may be provided free of cost as the time limit has expired.

Institute Engineer is advised to provide the information available on swimming pool renovation work in their record at the earliest.

(Dr. Sandeep Chatterjee)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Institute Engineer

Copy to :

1. Sh. Anil Khanna
r/o III-K-20,
Lajpat Nagar
New Delhi – 110 024

2. PIO

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/15/
December 11, 2015

Sh. NandLal,
Public Information Officer
Central Public Works Dept.
Near Vikramshila Apartments,
IIT Delhi Campus
HauzKhas,
New Delhi – 110016

Subject : Appeal dated 30-11-2015 of Sh. Anil Khanna under RTI Act 2005

Dear Sir,

It has reference to letter No. RTI/19/134/2015-Nirman-1(C)/1410 dated 2nd November, 2015 from CPIO, New Delhi Anchal- 1, CPWD forwarding application dated 3-10-2015 of Sh. Anil Khanna seeking some information on last renovation of swimming pool work executed in IIT Campus in the year 2013-2014. It is requested to kindly furnish the information to the applicant at the earliest possible.

(Dr. SandeepChatterjee)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Copy to :

1. Sh. Anil Khanna
r/o III-K-20,
Lajpat Nagar
New Delhi – 110 024
2. PIO

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/15/
December 11, 2015

**In the matter related to appeal dated 05-11-2015 of Sh. Mahir Malhotra
under RTI Act 2005**

Whereas Sh. Mahir Malhotra has preferred an application dated 21-9-2015 under RTI 2005 seeking information on building commercial shopping complex by demolishing Neelkanth shopping complex. The application was forwarded to Institute Engineer by PIO on 22-9-2015. The reply was received from the Office of the IE on 06-10-2015 and the same was returned by PIO being incomplete. Some changes were made by Works Section which were also not satisfactory and PIO has again requested Works Section for framing the reply suitably. EE (CI) was of the opinion that the reply given is correct and he stands by the same reply and no further changes will be made in it. PIO has made comments on the reply received from him and had marked to Works Section.

In the meantime, since the last date was approaching the applicant was informed by the RTI Cell to avoid any penalty due to the delay. Aggrieved by the incomplete reply the applicant has preferred an appeal to Smt. Smriti Zubin Irani, Hon'ble Minister Human Resource Development, indicating the irregularities being done at IIT Delhi with a copy to Prime Minister's Office and Director, IIT Delhi, copy of which is enclosed. **(Annexure I)**

Institute Engineer is advised to provide the relevant information immediately.

(Dr. Sandeep Chatterjee)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Institute Engineer

Copy to :

1. Sh. Mahir Malhotra
505, Pearls Business Park
Netaji Subhash Place,
New Delhi – 110 034
2. PIO

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/15/
December 11, 2015

Whereas Sh. Debashish Pandahas preferred an application dated 14-10-2015 under RTI 2005 seeking information under RTI Act. The application was forwarded to Institute Engineer by PIO on 21-10-2015. The reply was received from the Office of the IE on 17-11-2015 whereas the last date of the application to be replied is 20th November, 2015. The applicant raised five questions and the information provided by EE(C1) is not complete. In some other RTI cases also the reply received is not up to the point or received with the remarks that the information sought is voluminous and hence denied.

Institute Engineer is advised to take up the RTI application seriously and may furnish the correct information so as to avoid first appeal/complaint to CIC by the applicant.

(Dr. Sandeep Chatterjee)
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Institute Engineer

Copy to :

1. PIO

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/15/
December 11, 2015

This has reference to your first appeal dated 16th November, 2015 received on 24th November, 2015 against your RTI application dated 09th October, 2015 regarding the tuition fee refund policy (in case) of a Ph.D. student of IIT Delhi within one week of commencement of Semester. The reply to the same is furnished below:-

“The whole amount of fees/other charges deposited by the selected candidates will be refundable after deduction of Rs.1,000/- if the selected candidate does not join the programme after paying the dues and leaves the Institute by applying for refund on or before the date of registration. However, after registration date, only security deposit of Rs.2000/- is refundable”.

Hope the reply provided above clarifies your query.

(Dr. SandeepChatterjee)
Registrar & First Appellate Authority

Mr. Manoj Kumar
Sapient Consulting Private Limited
AachivSoftech Private Limited
Oxygen Park, Tower – C
Ground to 4th Floor
Plot No. 7, Sector 1443, Expressway
Noida – 201 301
Uttar Pradesh

