

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)  
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/16/  
January 29, 2016

**Sub: Reply to your First appeal dated 29th, December 2015.**

This has reference to your First Appeal against your RTI application dated 16<sup>th</sup> November, 2015.

Based on your first appeal, the reply to point Nos. 3 and 4 are furnished below:-

The reply to your RTI application given by Public Information Officer holds good. It may be kept in mind that 7 years means 14 registered semester, that means the student should have completed 14 registered semesters within the stipulated period of 7 years. The information is processed manually. If you have any specific case in mind the details may be furnished and the case will be examined.

**(Dr. SandeepChatterjee)**  
Registrar &  
First Appellate Authority

Mr. Subir Singh Lamba  
Flat No. 516  
Rajul Parkview  
Tilhari  
Mandla Road  
Jabalpur – 482 020

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)  
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/16/  
January 29, 2016

**Sub: Reply to your first appeal dated 06<sup>th</sup> January, 2016.**

This has reference to your First Appeal against your RTI application dated 21<sup>st</sup> December, 2015.

Your case has been put up to BoG, a copy of Audit's observation/views on the representation made by you along with the BoG item are enclosed at Annexure – A. (Page Nos. 1 -23).

Based on your appeal, the above documents available with Estt-II are furnished and it is informed that Estt-II has no other documents to be provided other than the above documents.

**(Dr. Sandeep Chatterjee)**  
Registrar &  
First Appellate Authority

Mr. Manjit Singh  
Room No. TX-106  
Department of Textile Technology  
Indian Institute of Technology  
HauzKhas  
New Delhi – 110 016

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)  
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/16/  
February 11, 2016

**Sub: Reply to your first appeal dated 29-12 ` -2015**

This has reference to your First Appeal against your RTI application dated 19-11-2015.

Your case has been put up to BoG, a copy of Audit's observation/views on the representation made by you along with the BoG item are enclosed at Annexure – A. (Page Nos. 1 -23).

Based on your appeal, the above documents available with Estt-II are furnished and it is informed that Estt-II has no other documents to be provided other than the above documents.

**(Dr. SandeepChatterjee)**  
Registrar &  
First Appellate Authority

Mr. Manjit Singh  
Room No. TX-106  
Department of Textile Technology  
Indian Institute of Technology  
HauzKhas  
New Delhi – 110 016

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)  
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/16/  
March 9, 2015

**In the matter related to appeal dated 29-12-2015 of Sh. Harish Sharma  
under RTI Act 2005**

Whereas Sh. Harish Sharma has preferred an application dated nil seeking information under RTI 2005 regarding payment of bills related to the contract awarded to M/s Good House Keeping for Internal Cleaning of IIT Delhi which was furnished by PIO vide his letter No. IITD/RTI/2015/204 dated 18-12-2015. Sh. Harish Sharma has now preferred this appeal dated 29-12-2015 saying that he is not satisfied with the reply furnished by PIO and he has asked to provide the information regarding the claims/bills raised by M/s Good House Keeping.

I have perused the appeal. The appeal appears to be more as a grievance. Normally RTI is not a provision to seek redressal of grievances. It only provides for seeking of available information in the form of record(s).

The appellant has stated that the information furnished by PIO is incomplete with respect to payment of arrears to some workers for the period Oct. 2014 to Dec. 2014.

In this regard, following replies were received from the EE (P) of the Institute. The same are attached herewith.

1. Note No. IIRD/EE(P)/2016/240 dated 04-02-2016
2. Letter No. IITD/EE(P)/2016/241 dated 03-02-2016 written to Proprietor, M/s Good House Keeping
3. Letter No. IITD/EE(P)/2016/663 dated 07-03-2016 written to Proprietor, M/s Good House Keeping

I hope this will address the matter and in the event the applicant has grievance and not satisfied, he may please contact the Institute Engineer (Ph. No. 26591761, E-mail : [ie@admin.iitd.ac.in](mailto:ie@admin.iitd.ac.in)) for redressal of the grievance, as applicable.

(Dr. Sandeep Chatterjee)  
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Sh. Harish Sharma,  
WZ-519, Raj Nagar – 1  
Palam Colony  
New Delhi – 110 077

Copy to :

PIO

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)  
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/16/  
March 1, 2015

**In the matter related to appeal dated 28-01-2016 of Ms. Raj KumariThukral  
under RTI Act 2005**

Whereas Ms. Raj KumariThukral has preferred an application dated 18-12-2015 seeking information under RTI Act 2005 which was furnished by PIO vide his letter No. IITD/RTI/2015/1029 dated 30-12-2015.

Ms. Raj KumariThukral has now preferred this appeal dated 28-01-2016 saying that she is not satisfied with the reply furnished by PIO.

She has further asked to provide her a copy of the noting portion of her promotion/upgradation case.

I have perused the appeal. The copies of noting portion and orders of the competent authority along with other relevant papers as provided by the Assistant Registrar (E-II) is now sent herewith/enclosed (pages 1-23) for her records.

The appeal dated 28-01-2016, accordingly stands disposed.

Encl : as above

(Dr. Sandeep Chatterjee)  
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Ms. Raj KumariThukral,  
B-262, Top Floor  
Chittaranjan Park  
**New Delhi – 110 019**

Copy to :

PIO

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)  
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/16/  
March 16 , 2016

Sh. Rashid Khan has preferred an application dated 16--07-2015 received in RTI Cell on 19-08-2015 seeking information under RTI Act 2005 which was furnished by PIO vide his letter dated 15-09-2015 based on the information provided by Works Section dated 15-09-2015 citing section 7(9) of the Act and had also requested him to visit for inspection of the records..

Sh. Rashid Khan had visited the Office of Er. K.M. Vijaykumar, EE(P) and collected the required information on payment, as advised by the PIO/EE(P).

Now he has preferred this appeal dated 17-02-2016 saying that some of the information he had asked for was refused by the Works Section.

Noteworthy that his original application dated 16-07-2015 was sent to Institute Engineer by the PIO, RTI Cell vide Diary No. 124/627 dated 19-8-2015 requesting to expedite the information/reply. Later his first appeal along with the relevant papers were sent to Sh. K.M. Vijay Kumar, EE(P) with the request to give a suitable reply. Sh. Vijay Kumar replied that the said appeal is not related to his unit.

Institute Engineer is, therefore, requested to take up the RTI application seriously and may furnish the correct information and records, so as to avoid appeal or any complaint from CIC.

The applicant is also requested to contact the Institute Engineer (Ph.No.26591761, E-mail : [ie@admin.iitd.ac.in](mailto:ie@admin.iitd.ac.in)) for further inspection of the records.

(Dr. Sandeep Chatterjee)  
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Institute Engineer

Copy to :

Sh. Rashid Khan,  
J-3/37, Flat No. C-2, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor  
(Near Dr. Aftab Clinic)  
Khirki Ext., New Delhi – 110017

PIO

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)  
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/16/  
March 23 , 2016

Sh. Venkatramanan Krishnamurthy has preferred an application dated 30-12-2015 seeking information under RTI Act 2005 which was furnished by PIO vide his letter dated 15-09-2015 based on the information provided by Chairman, JEE and A.R. (UGS). While replying to his application, PIO had invoked section 7(9) and section 8(1) (d) and (e) of the Act as the information sought was voluminous in nature and belonged to third party information.

Aggrieved by the reply given by PIO he has preferred this appeal dated 05-03-2016 for replying to his query No. 14(a) to 14(h).

I have perused the appeal. It may kindly be noted that personal data of the students cannot be provided as the information asked is fiduciary in nature and belongs to third party and hence is rightly denied under section 8(1) (d) & (e) of the Act.

Hope the information provided suffice your requirement.

(Dr. Sandeep Chatterjee)  
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Sh. Venkatramanan Krishnamurthy  
A-102, Raja Prakruti Apartments  
No. 157, 4<sup>th</sup> Cross (Off) 10<sup>th</sup> Main  
Jayanagar First Block  
Bangalore – 560 011  
Karnataka State

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)  
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/16/  
March 23 , 2016

Sh. Venkatramanan Krishnamurthy has preferred an application dated 30--12-2015 seeking information under RTI Act 2005 which was furnished by PIO vide his letter dated 15-09-2015 based on the information provided by Chairman, JEE and A.R. (UGS). While replying to his application, PIO had invoked section 7(9) and section 8(1) (d) and (e) of the Act as the information sought was voluminous in nature and belonged to third party information.

Now he has preferred this appeal dated 05-03-2016 for replying to his query No. 14(a) to 14(h).

(Dr. Sandeep Chatterjee)  
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Copy to :

PIO



**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)  
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/16/  
May 5 , 2016

Sh. Neeraj Kumar has preferred an application dated nil seeking information under RTI Act 2005 which was furnished by PIO vide his letter dated 24-02-2016 based on the information provided by Head, CBME. While replying to his application, PIO had invoked section 8(1) (d) of the Act as the information sought was confidential.

Aggrieved by the reply given by PIO he has preferred this appeal dated 23-03-2016 for providing the information to him.

His first appeal is now to be disposed. While considering the matter, it was felt appropriate to send the application, with the request to go through his case and give a suitable reply.

(Dr. Sandeep Chatterjee)  
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Head, CBME

J.R. (E-I)

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)  
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/16/  
May 5 , 2016

Sh. Venkatramanan Krishnamurthy has preferred an application dated 30-12-2015 seeking information under RTI Act 2005 which was furnished by PIO vide his letter dated 15-09-2015 based on the information provided by Chairman, JEE and A.R. (UGS). While replying to his application, PIO had invoked section 7(9) and section 8(1) (d) and (e) of the Act as the information sought was voluminous in nature and belonged to third party information.

Aggrieved by the reply given by PIO he has preferred this appeal dated 05-03-2016 for replying to his query No. 14(a) to 14(h).

Hope the information provided suffice your requirement.

(Dr. Sandeep Chatterjee)  
Registrar & Appellate Authority

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)  
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/16/  
May 9 , 2016

Sh. Neeraj Kumar has preferred an application dated nil seeking information under RTI Act 2005 which was furnished by PIO vide his letter dated 24-02-2016 based on the information provided by Head, CBME. While replying to his application, PIO had invoked section 8(1) (d) of the Act as the information sought was confidential.

Aggrieved by the reply given by PIO he has preferred this appeal dated 26-03-2016 for providing the information to him. The case was forwarded to Head, CBME. Reply of Head, CBME has been received. As per the enclosed reply received from Head, CBME along with her comments, it is once again reiterated that the information provided remains the same and there is no change in it.

Encl : As above

(Dr. Sandeep Chatterjee)  
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Dr. Neeraj Kumar  
House No. 2311/First Floor  
Sector – 64  
Mohali  
Punjab – 160 064

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)  
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/16/  
May 31 , 2016

Sh. Harjit Singh has preferred an application dated 10-03-2016 seeking information under RTI Act 2005 which was furnished by PIO vide his letter dated 28-03-2016

Not satisfied with the reply furnished by Public Information Officer Sh. Harjeet Singh opted for first appeal dated 16-04-2016 followed by email dated 08-05-2016.

In this regard, it may be noted that the article/research paper cited by him is already a published document and is available in the public domain. He may draw inference from the published document or any other available/published research papers as may deem fit in view of its technical contents and applicability. The question he has raised is about seeking opinion on certain issues which probably doesn't come under the purview of RTI.

The appeal dated 16<sup>th</sup> April, 2016 followed by e-mail dated 08<sup>th</sup> May, 2016 therefore stands disposed.

(Dr. Sandeep Chatterjee)

Registrar & Appellate Authority

Mr. Harjeet Singh  
S/o. Gurmeet Singh Viridi  
House No. 16242  
D-1, St. No. 11/3  
Gobind Singh Nagar  
Bathinda – 151 001 Punjab

Copy to:

1. Head, Department of Civil Engineering
2. PIO

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)  
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/16/  
May 31, 2016

Prof. Chandra SekharaRao has preferred an application dated 02-05-2016 seeking information under RTI Act 2005 which was furnished by PIO vide his letter dated 08-04-2016 based on the information provided by Deputy Registrar (PGS&R) and Head, Department of Mathematics.

Not satisfied with the reply furnished by Public Information Office, Prof. Chandra SekharaRao opted for first appeal dated 02<sup>nd</sup> May, 2016.

In this regard, the information received from Head, Department of Mathematics and Deputy Registrar (PGS&R) is enclosed herewith.

The appeal dated 02<sup>nd</sup> May, accordingly stands disposed off.

(Dr. Sandeep Chatterjee)  
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Prof. S. Chandra SekharaRao  
Department of Mathematics  
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi  
HauzKhas, New Delhi

Copy to:

PIO

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)  
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/16/  
July 18, 2016

Prof. Chandra SekharaRao has preferred an application dated 09-03-2016 seeking information under RTI Act 2005 which was furnished by PIO vide his letter dated 08-04-2016 based on the information provided by Deputy Registrar (PGS&R) and Head, Department of Mathematics.

Not satisfied with the reply furnished by Public Information Office, Prof. Chandra SekharaRao opted for first appeal dated 02<sup>nd</sup> May, 2016. The information received in this regard from Head, Department of Mathematics and Deputy Registrar (PGS&R) was provided vide letter dated June 9, 2016. Prof. Chandra SekharaRao again preferred second appeal dated June 15, 2016.

On careful perusal further, the matter was referred to the Dean (Academic), who has informed, as below :

“In my view, the reply provided to Q#1 (IITD/RTI/2016/285/232 dated 8.4.2016), adequately addressed the questions raised. There is no further information/document that can be given.”

Therefore, in the light of above, it is found that the replies already given adequately addressed the questions raised. There is no further information/document available on it.

As such the appeal made thereto in reference quoted above does not find merit and is disposed off accordingly.

(Dr. Sandeep Chatterjee)  
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Prof. S. Chandra SekharaRao  
Department of Mathematics  
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi  
HauzKhas, New Delhi

Copy to:

PIO

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)  
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/16/  
July 21 , 2016

Subject : Representation from Sh. PrakashKedia on RTI reply – reg.

In reference to above subject a letter from Sh. PrakashKedia, Project Associate, Dept. of Civil Engg., IIT Delhi is enclosed. You may refer to the original RTI application dated 19-5-2016 and reply furnished thereon in order to submit your reply for furnishing it to the appelliant.

Should you feel that there are documents/information to substantiate your reply/clarification, you may do so in order to help dispose off his observation that false and contradictory information has been submitted.

This may be replied/responded within a period of 5 days but not later than 28<sup>th</sup> July,2016 by 5 p.m.

(Dr. SandeepChatterjee)  
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Sh. G.K. Taneja,  
Institute Engineer & Estate Officer

Sh. K.K. Bhattacharjee,  
PIO

Copy to :

Sh. PrakashKedia  
Project Associate (EC-10701)  
Dept. of Civil Eng.  
IIT Delhi, HauzKhas,  
New Delhi - 110016

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)  
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/16/  
August 11 , 2016

Subject : Representation from Sh. Satish Kumar on RTI reply – reg.

In reference to above subject a letter from ShSatish Kumar D-1/B-4 IIT Delhi is enclosed. You may refer to the original RTI application dated 11-6-2016 and reply furnished thereon in order to submit your reply for furnishing it to the appellant.

Should you feel that there are documents/information to substantiate your reply/clarification, you may do so in order to help dispose off his appeal.

This may be replied/responded within a period of 5 days but not later than 19<sup>th</sup> August, 2016 by 5 p.m.

(Dr. SandeepChatterjee)  
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Head, Hospital Services

Copy to :

Sh. Satish Kumar,  
D-1/B-4,  
IIT Delhi, HauzKhas,  
New Delhi - 110016



**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)  
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/16/  
August 17, 2016

Sh. Satish Kumar has preferred an application dated 11-06-2016 seeking information under RTI Act 2005 which was furnished by PIO vide his letter dated 05-07-2016 based on the information provided by Head, Hospital Services.

Not satisfied with the reply furnished by Public Information Office, Sh. Satish Kumar opted for first appeal dated 25-07-2016.

In this regard, the information received from Head, Hospital Services is enclosed herewith.

The appeal dated 25<sup>th</sup> July, 2016 accordingly stands disposed off.

(Dr. Sandeep Chatterjee)  
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Sh. Satish Kumar,  
D-1/B-4,  
IIT Delhi, Hauz Khas,  
New Delhi - 110016

Copy to:

PIO

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)  
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/16/  
August 29, 2016

**Sub: Reply to your first appeal dated 12<sup>th</sup> August, 2016.**

This has reference to your First Appeal against your RTI application dated 5<sup>th</sup> July, 2016 stating that you have not received the reply.

The reply has already been sent by PIO vide letter No. IITD/RTI/2016/59/500 dated 9<sup>th</sup> August, 2016, copy of the same is enclosed herewith.

**(Dr. Sandeep Chatterjee)**  
Registrar &  
First Appellate Authority

Mr. Sachin  
House No. 5/545  
Indira Colony  
Sonipat – 131 001  
Haryana

Copy to :

PIO

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)  
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/16/  
September 19, 2016

Sh. Satish Kumar has preferred an application dated 11-06-2016 seeking information under RTI Act 2005 which was furnished by PIO vide his letter dated 05-07-2016 based on the information provided by Head, Hospital Services.

Not satisfied with the reply furnished by Public Information Office, Sh. Satish Kumar opted for first appeal dated 25-07-2016. The information received from Head, Hospital Services was provided vide letter dated August 17, 2016. Sh. Satish Kumar again preferred appeal dated 31<sup>st</sup> August, 2016.

On careful perusal further, the matter was referred to the Head, Hospital Services. In this regard, the information dated 02-09-2016 received from Head, Hospital Services is enclosed herewith.

The replies already given adequately addressed the questions raised. There is no further information/document available on it.

However, in the reply/information dated 02-09-2016 received from Officiating Head, IIT Hospital, the issue has not been resolved or information provided to the extent asked by the applicant (refer original application dated 11-06-2016 and later dated 31-08-2016).

Therefore, you are again requested to look into the matter and prefer a reply/information as available and held within 7 (seven) days of issue of this letter, clarifying the status.

(Dr. Sandeep Chatterjee)  
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Head, Hospital Services

Copy to:

1. Sh. Satish Kumar,  
D-1/B-4,  
IIT Delhi, HauzKhas,  
New Delhi - 110016
  
2. PIO

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)  
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/16/  
September 16, 2016

Sh. AshishRoutrayhas preferred an application dated 09-08-2016 seeking informationunder RTI Act 2005 which was furnished by PIO vide his letter No. IITD/RTI/2016/615 dated 06-09-2016. The PIO, based on the information provided has submitted the response as follows :

“The selection process for faculty recruitment in the Centre for Rural Development Technology (CRDT) is in progress. It is expected that by the end of October, 2016 the selection process will be over. Till selection process is in progress, any information related to its progress can not be disclosed. Once the selection process is over such information will be provided to you.”

Not satisfied with the reply furnished by Public Information Officer, Sh. AshishRoutrayhas sent an e-mail dated12-09-2016.

In this regard, the information received from Establishment Section I is enclosed herewith, which shall serve the purpose and make the information held available to the applicant.

Therefore, the request dated 12<sup>th</sup>September , 2016 accordingly be treated as addressed and fulfilled.

(Dr. SandeepChatterjee)  
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Sh. AshishRoutray  
PrithviVihar  
Plot No. B-9/7A  
Flat No. C-4, Sector – 62  
**Noida – 201 309 (U.P.)**

Copy to:

PIO

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)  
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/16/  
September 20, 2016

Refer your letter dated 13<sup>th</sup> August, 2016 and your subsequent letter dated 03<sup>rd</sup> September, 2016 it is observed that reply has already been sent to you including the point no. 2 of your original RTI application vide letter No. IITD/RTI/2016/103 dated 08<sup>th</sup> September, 2016.

Hope it satisfy your purpose and accordingly the appeal is treated as disposed.

(Dr. SandeepChatterjee)  
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Sh. Manoj Kumar Gupta  
Block – F, House No. 14,  
Ganga Vihar, Shahdara  
Delhi – 110 004

Copy to :

PIO

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)  
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/16/  
September 22, 2016

Sh. Satish Kumar has preferred an application dated 11-06-2016 seeking information under RTI Act 2005 which was furnished by PIO vide his letter dated 05-07-2016 based on the information provided by Head, Hospital Services.

Not satisfied with the reply furnished by Public Information Office, Sh. Satish Kumar opted for first appeal dated 25-07-2016. The information received from Head, Hospital Services was provided vide letter dated August 17, 2016. Sh. Satish Kumar again preferred appeal dated 31<sup>st</sup> August, 2016.

On careful perusal further, the matter was referred to the Head, Hospital Services. In this regard, the information dated 21-09-2016 received from Head, Hospital Services is enclosed herewith (21 pages). The replies already given adequately addressed the questions raised. There is no further information/document available on it.

The desire to seek reply in one word (Yes) or (No) by the applicant on matter(s) pertaining to PCPNDT Act 1994 appears to have legal connotation and as an information it does not pertain to this office. You may therefore contact the relevant authority in this regard. The appeal therefore stands disposed of as aforesaid.

Encl : As stated

(Dr. Sandeep Chatterjee)  
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Sh. Satish Kumar,  
D-1/B-4,  
IIT Delhi, Hauz Khas,  
New Delhi - 110016

Copy to:

PIO

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)  
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/16/  
October 19, 2016

Subject : Representation dated 27/09/2016 from Sh. Vinod Kumar on RTI reply dated 09/09/2016 – reg.

In reference to above subject a letter from Sh. Vinod Kumar, Convener, Federartion of All IITs SC/ST Employees Association, IIT Delhi is enclosed. You may refer to the original RTI application dated 10-8-2016 and reply furnished thereon dated 09.09.2016 by the PIO, based on information provided by your office, in order to submit your reply for disposing appeal made by the appellant.

Should you feel that there are documents/information to substantiate your reply/clarification, you may do so in order to help dispose off his observation that 'incorrect and incomplete information has been submitted'. The matter may therefore be carefully looked into.

It is expected that this will be replied/responded surely within a period of 5 days, but not later than 27<sup>th</sup> October, 2016 by 5 p.m.

(Dr. SandeepChatterjee)  
Registrar & Appellate Authority

A.R. (E-II)

Copy to :

Sh. Vinod Kumar,  
Convener, Federartion of All IITs SC/ST Employees Association/  
IIT DelhiDept. of Chemistry  
IIT Delhi, HauzKhas,  
New Delhi - 110016

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)  
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/16/  
October 20, 2016

Sh. Kulbir Singh has preferred an application dated 26-07-2016 seeking information under RTI Act 2005 which was furnished by PIO vide his letter dated 30-08-2016.

Not satisfied with the reply furnished by Public Information Office, Sh. Kulbir Singh opted for first appeal dated 08-09-2016. On perusal of record it is observed that PIO has made available the information vide letter dated 21<sup>st</sup> September, 2016.

Hope it satisfy your purpose and accordingly the appeal is treated as disposed.

(Dr. Sandeep Chatterjee)  
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Sh. Kulbir Singh,  
67, Kailash Hills,  
East of Kailash  
New Delhi – 110065

Copy to:

PIO



**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)  
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/16/  
October 19, 2016

Subject : Representation dated 27/09/2016 from Sh. Vinod Kumar on RTI reply dated  
09/09/2016 – reg.

(Dr. Sandeep Chatterjee)  
Registrar & Appellate Authority

A.R. (E-II)

Copy to :

Sh. Vinod Kumar,  
Convener, Federation of All IITs SC/ST Employees Association/  
IIT Delhi Dept. of Chemistry  
IIT Delhi, HauzKhas,  
New Delhi - 110016

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)  
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/16/  
November 4, 2016

Sh. Vinod Kumar has preferred an application dated 08-08-2016 seeking information under RTI Act 2005 which was furnished by PIO vide his letter dated 09-09-2016 based on the information provided by A.R. (E-II).

Not satisfied with the reply furnished by Public Information Office, Sh. Vinod Kumar opted for first appeal dated 27-09-2016.

In this regard, the information received from A.R. (E-II) is enclosed herewith, which shall serve the purpose and make the information held available to the applicant.

Therefore, the request dated 27<sup>th</sup> September, 2016 accordingly be treated as addressed and fulfilled.

(Dr. Sandeep Chatterjee)  
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Sh. Vinod Kumar,  
Convener, Federation of All IITs SC/ST  
Employees Association/  
IIT Delhi Dept. of Chemistry  
IIT Delhi, HauzKhas,  
New Delhi – 110016

Copy to :

PIO

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)  
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/16/  
November 4, 2016

Subject : Representation dated 14/10/2016 from Sh. Ram Sarup on RTI reply dated 29/08/2016 – reg.

In reference to above subject a letter from Sh. Ram Sarup, Udaipur, Rajasthan is enclosed. You may refer to the original RTI application dated 29-8-2016 and reply furnished thereon dated 26.09.2016 by the PIO, based on information provided by your office, in order to submit your reply for disposing appeal made by the appellant.

Should you feel that there are documents/information to substantiate your reply/clarification, you may do so in order to help dispose off his observation that “an act of refuting information”. The matter may therefore be carefully looked into.

It is expected that this will be replied/responded surely within a period of 7 days, but not later than 11<sup>th</sup> November, 2016 by 5 p.m.

(Dr. SandeepChatterjee)  
Registrar & Appellate Authority

A.R. (E-I)

Copy to :

Sh. Ram Sarup,  
225/9, Sardarpura  
Near GumaniaWala Petrol Pump  
Udaipur,  
Rajasthan – 313001

**OFFICE OF THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY  
(UNDER RTI ACT 2005)  
IIT : DELHI**

No. IITD/R/AA/16/  
November 21, 2016

Sh. Ram Saruphas preferred an application dated 29-08-2016 seeking information under RTI Act 2005 which was furnished by PIO vide his letter dated 26-09-2016 based on the information provided by A.R. (E-II).

Not satisfied with the reply furnished by Public Information Office, Sh. Ram Saruphas opted for first appeal dated 14-10-2016.

In this regard, the information received from A.R. (E-I) is enclosed herewith, which shall serve the purpose and make the information held available to the applicant.

Therefore, the request dated 14<sup>th</sup> October, 2016 accordingly be treated as addressed and fulfilled.

(Dr. Sandeep Chatterjee)  
Registrar & Appellate Authority

Sh. Ram Sarup,  
225/9, Sardarpura  
Near Gumania Wala Petrol Pump  
Udaipur,

Rajasthan – 313001

Copy to :

PIO

